

FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL COURT OF PAKISTAN, ISLAMABAD**SUPPLEMENTARY CAUSE LIST NO. 47 of 2026****WEDNESDAY 11-Mar-2026 at 09:00 AM***For fixation and result of cases, please visit www.fccp.gov.pk*

The following cases are fixed for hearing before the Court at Court House, Constitution Avenue, Islamabad on 11-Mar-2026 at 09:00 AM or soon thereafter as may be convenient to the Court.

BENCH - I**JUSTICE AMIN-UD-DIN KHAN, CHIEF JUSTICE****JUSTICE ALI BAQAR NAJAFI****Wednesday, 11-Mar-2026**

- | | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|
| 1 | <p>C.R.P.25-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were challenged by filing Writ Petitions before High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed by the High Court. CPLAs filed before this Court were dismissed. Now, 389 CRPs have been filed against the judgment of this Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
(11.03.2026)</p> | <p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Mustafa Gigi</p> | <p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p> |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (2) | <p>C.R.P.26-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were challenged by filing Writ Petitions before High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed by the High Court. CPLAs filed before this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs have been filed against the judgment of this Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p> | <p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Asad</p> | <p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p> |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (3) | <p>C.R.P.27-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were challenged by filing Writ Petitions before High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed by the High Court. CPLAs filed before this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs have been filed against the judgment of this Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p> | <p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Siraj Tajuddin</p> | <p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p> |

and (4) **C.R.P.28-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mustafa Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) A Tapal (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 (Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were challenged by filing Writ Petitions before High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed by the High Court. CPLAs filed before this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs have been filed against the judgment of this Court.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (5) **C.R.P.29-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Riazuddin (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 (Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were challenged by filing Writ Petitions before High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed by the High Court. CPLAs filed before this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs have been filed against the judgment of this Court.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (6) **C.R.P.30-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Naeem Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Ali Muhammad Munshi (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 (Federal Tax. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 Vires of the Income Support Levy Act, 2013. Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (7) **C.R.P.31-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Choudhary Naeemuddin (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 (Federal Tax. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 Cess/Duty/Levy. Constitutional Bench Matter. Vires of Income Supply Levy Act, 2013, Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (8) **C.R.P.32-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Muhammad Kasim Hasham (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 (Federal Tax. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 Vires of the Income Support Levy Act, 2013. Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (9) C.R.P.33-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mst. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Seema Khalid (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 (Federal Tax.
 Cess/Duty/Levy.
 Constitutional Bench Matter.
 Vires of Income Supply Levy Act, 2013,
 Challenged. The Supreme Court
 maintained the order of the High Court
 in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

and (10) C.R.P.34-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Amanullah Zubair (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 (Federal Tax.
 Cess/Duty/Levy.
 Constitutional Bench Matter.
 Vires of Income Supply Levy Act, 2013,
 Challenged. The Supreme Court
 maintained the order of the High Court
 in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

and (11) C.R.P.35-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Anwar Qureshi (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 (Federal Tax.
 Constitutional Bench Matter.
 Vires of income support levy Act, 2013,
 challenged. The Supreme court
 maintained the order of the High Court
 in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

and (12) C.R.P.36-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Ahmed (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 (Federal Tax.
 Vires of the Income Support Levy Act,
 2013. Challenged. The Supreme Court
 maintained the order of the High Court
 in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

and (13) C.R.P.37-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Suhail Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Younus & others (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 (Federal Tax.
 Cess/Duty/Levy.
 Constitutional Bench Matter.
 Vires of Income Supply Levy Act, 2013,
 Challenged. The Supreme Court
 maintained the order of the High Court
 in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

- and (14) **C.R.P.38-K/2022**
(Tax / Levy of)
(Petitioner respondent challenged the Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the same was challenged in SC. SC maintained order of the High Court. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Asif Ahmed Allawala & others**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (15) **C.R.P.39-K/2022**
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Noman Ashfaq**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (16) **C.R.P.40-K/2022**
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Levy.
The vires of the Income Support Levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Abdus Samad & others**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (17) **C.R.P.41-K/2022**
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were challenged by filing Writ Petitions before High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed by the High Court. CPLAs filed before this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs have been filed against the judgment of this Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Khalil A.Sattar**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (18) **C.R.P.42-K/2022**
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were challenged by filing Writ Petitions before High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed by the High Court. CPLAs filed before this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs have been filed against the judgment of this Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shaheena Pervaiz**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-

- and (19) **C.R.P.43-K/2022**
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Levy.
Vires of the income support levy act,
2013, challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Zafar
Saeed**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**
-
- and (20) **C.R.P.44-K/2022**
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article
188 of Constitution)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of
Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were
challenged by filing Writ Petitions before
High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed
by the High Court. CPLAs filed before
this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs
have been filed against the judgment of
this Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Qamar
Abbas & others**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**
-
- and (21) **C.R.P.45-K/2022**
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Review.
Federal/cess/levy/tax.
Vires of income support Act 2013,
challenged in W.P. before the High
Court. The Supreme Court upheld the
order of the High Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Zahid
Haleem Sheikh**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**
-
- and (22) **C.R.P.46-K/2022**
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article
188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax.
Cess/Duty/Levy.
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of Income Supply Levy Act, 2013,
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v.
Dr.Shahzad Arshad**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**
-
- and (23) **C.R.P.47-K/2022**
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article
188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax.
Cess/Duty/Levy.
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of Income Supply Levy Act, 2013,
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mst.
Rukhsana**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**
-

- | | | | |
|----------|--|---|---|
| and (24) | C.R.P.48-K/2022
(Tax / Provincial Tax)
(SCP dismissed CP wherein the judgement of HC declaring Income Support Levy Act 2013 and Income Support Levy was unconstitutional was upheld and CP was dismissed; now review o judgment of SCP in the field)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shoaib Siddiqui | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (25) | C.R.P.49-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax.
Cess/Duty/Levy.
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of Income Supply Levy Act, 2013, Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Sohail Usman | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch)
Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (26) | C.R.P.50-K/2022
(Tax / Levy of)
(Petitioner respondent challenged the Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the same was challenged in SC. SC maintained order of High Court. Now this Review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Monis Abdullah | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (27) | C.R.P.51-K/2022
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Levy.
Vires of the income support levy act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shahid Aziz | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (28) | C.R.P.52-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax.
Levy.
The Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, was challenged. same upheld by the Supreme Court. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Qasim | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |

and (29)	C.R.P.53-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Levy. The Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, was challenged. same upheld by the Supreme Court. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Nirmal Das	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (30)	C.R.P.54-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Levy. The Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, was challenged. same upheld by the Supreme Court. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Rafique	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) ----- Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch) Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)
and (31)	C.R.P.55-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Levy. The Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, was challenged. same upheld by the Supreme Court. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Usman	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (32)	C.R.P.56-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Levy. The Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, was challenged. same upheld by the Supreme Court. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 2	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Kairas Nader Kabraji	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (33)	C.R.P.57-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Vires of the Income Support Levy Act, 2013. Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Zeeshan Akhtar	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (34) C.R.P.58-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Madiha Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Niaz Qureshi (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of
Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were
challenged by filing Writ Petitions before
High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed
by the High Court. CPLAs filed before
this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs
have been filed against the judgment of
this Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (35) C.R.P.59-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ashfaq Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Ahmed (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of
Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were
challenged by filing Writ Petitions before
High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed
by the High Court. CPLAs filed before
this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs
have been filed against the judgment of
this Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (36) C.R.P.60-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Kashif (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of the Income Support Levy Act,
2013. Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (37) C.R.P.61-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shoib Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Diwan Textile Corporation (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Article 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High
Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (38) C.R.P.62-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ghazala Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Siddiqui (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Article 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High
Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

- and (39) C.R.P.63-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Adnan Zafar
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (40) C.R.P.64-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Hussain Sekha
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (41) C.R.P.65-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(The Respondents filed Petitions before High Court challenging the varies of Income Support Act. 2013 which were Allowed. The Department filed CPLAs before the Court which were dismissed hence this C.R.P.
(Constitution Matter))
[(Order on Review Application)]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Attaullah & others
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (42) C.R.P.66-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(The Respondents filed Petitions before High Court challenging the varies of Income Support Act. 2013 which were Allowed. The Department filed CPLAs before the Court which were dismissed hence this C.R.P.
(Constitution Matter))
[(Order on Review Application)]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mohammad Hussain Khan & others
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (43) C.R.P.67-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(The Respondents filed Petitions before High Court challenging the varies of Income Support Act. 2013 which were Allowed. The Department filed CPLAs before the Court which were dismissed hence this C.R.P.
(Constitution Matter))
[(Order on Review Application)]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Naveed Ahmed
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-

- and (44) **C.R.P.68-K/2022**
(Tax / Income Tax)
(The Respondents filed Petitions before High Court challenging the varies of Income Support Act. 2013 which were Allowed. The Department filed CPLAs before the Court which were dismissed hence this C.R.P.
(Constitution Matter))
[(Order on Review Application)]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shakil A Tapal**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (45) **C.R.P.69-K/2022**
(Tax / Income Tax)
(The Respondents filed Petitions before High Court challenging the varies of Income Support Act. 2013 which were Allowed. The Department filed CPLAs before the Court which were dismissed hence this C.R.P.
(Constitution Matter))
[(Order on Review Application)]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Yasin Haji Kassam**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (46) **C.R.P.70-K/2022**
(Tax / Income Tax)
(The Respondents filed Petitions before High Court challenging the varies of Income Support Act. 2013 which were Allowed. The Department filed CPLAs before the Court which were dismissed hence this C.R.P.
(Constitution Matter))
[(Order on Review Application)]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shaukat Ellahi Shaikh**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (47) **C.R.P.71-K/2022**
(Tax / Income Tax)
(The Respondents filed Petitions before High Court challenging the varies of Income Support Act. 2013 which were Allowed. The Department filed CPLAs before the Court which were dismissed hence this C.R.P.
(Constitution Matter))
[(Order on Review Application)]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ahmad Najuib**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (48) **C.R.P.72-K/2022**
(Tax / Income Tax)
(The Respondents filed Petitions before High Court challenging the varies of Income Support Act. 2013 which were Allowed. The Department filed CPLAs before the Court which were dismissed hence this C.R.P.
(Constitution Matter))
[(Order on Review Application)]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mst. Hira Murtaza**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-

- and (49) **C.R.P.73-K/2022**
(Tax / Income Tax)
(The Respondents filed Petitions before High Court challenging the varies of Income Support Act. 2013 which were Allowed. The Department filed CPLAs before the Court which were dismissed hence this C.R.P.
(Constitution Matter))
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Zahur Hayat Noon**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (50) **C.R.P.74-K/2022**
(Tax / Income Tax)
(The Respondents filed Petitions before High Court challenging the varies of Income Support Act. 2013 which were Allowed. The Department filed CPLAs before the Court which were dismissed hence this C.R.P.
(Constitution Matter))
[[Order on Review Application]]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ejaz Hussain & others**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (51) **C.R.P.75-K/2022**
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Sohail Feroze**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (52) **C.R.P.76-K/2022**
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Abu Bakar Karim**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (53) **C.R.P.77-K/2022**
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Razak H.M Bengali**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (54) **C.R.P.78-K/2022**
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ibrahim Rehman**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (55)	<p>C.R.P.79-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. M.Hussain Kasim Habib</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p>
and (57)	<p>C.R.P.80-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Vires of Income Support levy Act 2013 were challenged by the respondent in High Court. High Court allowed the appeals of the respondents. Department filed CPLAs in this Court which were dismissed. Hence this CRP.) [(Order on Review Application)] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Manzar Alam</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p>
and (58)	<p>C.R.P.81-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Vires of Income Support levy Act 2013 were challenged by the respondent in High Court. High Court allowed the appeals of the respondents. Department filed CPLAs in this Court which were dismissed. Hence this CRP.) [(Order on Review Application)] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Badar Munir</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p>
and (59)	<p>C.R.P.82-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Vires of Income Support levy Act 2013 were challenged by the respondent in High Court. High Court allowed the appeals of the respondents. Department filed CPLAs in this Court which were dismissed. Hence this CRP.) [(Order on Review Application)] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Munib Naeem</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p>
and (60)	<p>C.R.P.83-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Vires of Income Support levy Act 2013 were challenged by the respondent in High Court. High Court allowed the appeals of the respondents. Department filed CPLAs in this Court which were dismissed. Hence this CRP.) [(Order on Review Application)] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Irfan Fancy</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p>

-
- and (61) C.R.P.84-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Vires of Income Support levy Act 2013 were challenged by the respondent in High Court. High Court allowed the appeals of the respondents. Department filed CPLAs in this Court which were dismissed. Hence this CRP.)
[(Order on Review Application)]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Syed Kamran Rashid
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (62) C.R.P.85-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Vires of Income Support levy Act 2013 were challenged by the respondent in High Court. High Court allowed the appeals of the respondents. Department filed CPLAs in this Court which were dismissed. Hence this CRP.)
[(Order on Review Application)]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mrs. Amber
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (63) C.R.P.86-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Vires of Income Support levy Act 2013 were challenged by the respondent in High Court. High Court allowed the appeals of the respondents. Department filed CPLAs in this Court which were dismissed. Hence this CRP.)
[(Order on Review Application)]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ismail Haji Ebrahim
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (64) C.R.P.87-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Vires of Income Support levy Act 2013 were challenged by the respondent in High Court. High Court allowed the appeals of the respondents. Department filed CPLAs in this Court which were dismissed. Hence this CRP.)
[(Order on Review Application)]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Arif ul Islam
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (65) C.R.P.88-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Vires of Income Support levy Act 2013 were challenged by the respondent in High Court. High Court allowed the appeals of the respondents. Department filed CPLAs in this Court which were dismissed. Hence this CRP.)
[(Order on Review Application)]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Yousuf Rao
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-

- | | | | |
|----------|--|---|---|
| and (66) | <p>C.R.P.89-K/2022
 (Tax / Income Tax)
 (Vires of Income Support levy Act 2013 were challenged by the respondent in High Court. High Court allowed the appeals of the respondents. Department filed CPLAs in this Court which were dismissed. Hence this CRP.)
 [(Order on Review Application)]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p> | <p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shahzad Riaz</p> | <p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p> |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (67) | <p>C.R.P.90-K/2022
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p> | <p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Abdul Rashied Chohan</p> | <p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p> |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (68) | <p>C.R.P.91-K/2022
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p> | <p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mansoor Rehman</p> | <p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p> |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (69) | <p>C.R.P.92-K/2022
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p> | <p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Rafiq</p> | <p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p> |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (70) | <p>C.R.P.93-K/2022
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p> | <p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Umair Ahmed Jalil</p> | <p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p> |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (71) | <p>C.R.P.94-K/2022
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p> | <p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Iftikhar H.Shirazi</p> | <p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p> |

- and (72) **C.R.P.95-K/2022** **Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Hasina Tufail** **Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (73) **C.R.P.96-K/2022** **Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Adnan Zaki** **Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (74) **C.R.P.97-K/2022** **Commissioner Inland Revenue v. A.Aziz H.Ebrahim** **Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
((vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (75) **C.R.P.98-K/2022** **Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Pehlaj Rai** **Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
(Tax / Income Tax)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

K. A. Wahab, AOR (Enrl#153)(Kch)
Iqbal Salman Pasha, ASC (Enrl#2667)(Kch)
-
- and (76) **C.R.P.99-K/2022** **Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Asim Ghani Usman** **Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
(Tax / Income Tax)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (77) **C.R.P.100-K/2022** **Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mohammad Naeem Zafar** **Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
(Tax / Income Tax)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-

- and (78) **C.R.P.101-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Amir S Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Tapal (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of
Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were
challenged by filing Writ Petitions before
High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed
by the High Court. CPLAs filed before
this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs
have been filed against the judgment of
this Court)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (79) **C.R.P.102-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mrs. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Samina Rehman (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of
Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were
challenged by filing Writ Petitions before
High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed
by the High Court. CPLAs filed before
this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs
have been filed against the judgment of
this Court)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (80) **C.R.P.103-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Tariq Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Mehmood (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of
Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were
challenged by filing Writ Petitions before
High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed
by the High Court. CPLAs filed before
this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs
have been filed against the judgment of
this Court)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (81) **C.R.P.104-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Rehana Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Salman (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of
Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were
challenged by filing Writ Petitions before
High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed
by the High Court. CPLAs filed before
this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs
have been filed against the judgment of
this Court)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (82) **C.R.P.105-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Asmat Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Zakir Jaffer (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of
Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were
challenged by filing Writ Petitions before
High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed
by the High Court. CPLAs filed before
this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs
have been filed against the judgment of
this Court)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

-
- and (83) **C.R.P.106-K/2022** **Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Munir** **Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were challenged by filing Writ Petitions before High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed by the High Court. CPLAs filed before this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs have been filed against the judgment of this Court)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (84) **C.R.P.107-K/2022** **Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Azmat Shahzad Ahmed Tarin** **Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Income Tax - Vires Income Support Levy Act 2013 was challenged by filing W.P., which was allowed.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (85) **C.R.P.108-K/2022** **Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Aisha Saad Gharib** **Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Income Tax - Vires Income Support Levy Act 2013 was challenged by filing W.P., which was allowed.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (86) **C.R.P.109-K/2022** **Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Riaz Ahmed & others** **Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Income Tax - Vires Income Support Levy Act 2013 was challenged by filing W.P., which was allowed.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (87) **C.R.P.110-K/2022** **Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Tariq Moinuddin Khan** **Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were challenged by filing Writ Petitions before High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed by the High Court. CPLAs filed before this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs have been filed against the judgment of this Court)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-

- and (88) **C.R.P.111-K/2022**
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were challenged by filing Writ Petitions before High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed by the High Court. CPLAs filed before this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs have been filed against the judgment of this Court)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Bilal Barkat**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (89) **C.R.P.112-K/2022**
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were challenged by filing Writ Petitions before High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed by the High Court. CPLAs filed before this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs have been filed against the judgment of this Court)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Rafiq Haji Habib**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (90) **C.R.P.113-K/2022**
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were challenged by filing Writ Petitions before High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed by the High Court. CPLAs filed before this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs have been filed against the judgment of this Court)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. M/s. Kohisar Enterprises**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (91) **C.R.P.114-K/2022**
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were challenged by filing Writ Petitions before High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed by the High Court. CPLAs filed before this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs have been filed against the judgment of this Court)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Iqbal Ahmed Allahwala**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-

and (92) C.R.P.115-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Usman Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Ahmed (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of
Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were
challenged by filing Writ Petitions before
High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed
by the High Court. CPLAs filed before
this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs
have been filed against the judgment of
this Court)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (93) C.R.P.116-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Javed Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Rasheed (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of
Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were
challenged by filing Writ Petitions before
High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed
by the High Court. CPLAs filed before
this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs
have been filed against the judgment of
this Court)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (94) C.R.P.117-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mian Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Imran Ahmed (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of
Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were
challenged by filing Writ Petitions before
High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed
by the High Court. CPLAs filed before
this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs
have been filed against the judgment of
this Court)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (95) C.R.P.118-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ahmed Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Tax / Income Tax) Jamal Mir (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013
challenge & there were allowed. CPLA
before this Court were dismissed hence
CRP.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (96) C.R.P.119-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Akhtar Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Tax / Income Tax) Abu Baker Karim (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013
challenge & there were allowed. CPLA
before this Court were dismissed hence
CRP.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

- | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|--|
| and (97) | C.R.P.120-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mohammad Taqui Nayani | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (98) | C.R.P.121-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Miss Mussarat Ahmed | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (99) | C.R.P.122-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Kaiser Mahmood Fecto | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (100) | C.R.P.123-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Tahir Hanif | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (101) | C.R.P.124-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Anwar illahi | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (102) | C.R.P.125-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Perwaiz Zafar | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (103) | C.R.P.126-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ashfaq Ahmed Teli | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |

and (104)	C.R.P.127-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.) (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Junaid Pervez	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (105)	C.R.P.128-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Order on Review Application)) [-] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Anjum Adamjee Ahmed	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (106)	C.R.P.129-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Order on Review Application)) [-] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Farhan Ahmed	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (107)	C.R.P.130-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Order on Review Application)) [-] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Anum Aqeel	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (108)	C.R.P.131-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Order on Review Application)) [-] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Asad Ahmed	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (109)	C.R.P.132-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Order on Review Application)) [-] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Dilawar Hussain	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (110)	C.R.P.135-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of the income support levy act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Bilal Omar Bawany	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (111)	C.R.P.136-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of the income support levy act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Saeed Ismail	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (112) C.R.P.137-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Review.
Federal/cess/levy/tax.
Vires of income support Act 2013,
challenged in W.P. before the High
Court. The Supreme Court upheld the
order of the High Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shabbir
Badruddin

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (113) C.R.P.138-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Review.
Federal/cess/levy/tax.
Vires of income support Act 2013,
challenged in W.P. before the High
Court. The Supreme Court upheld the
order of the High Court.)
[-]
[A] Amin-Ud-Din Khan, J+1
(D.B.)

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Javaid
Firoz

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (114) C.R.P.139-K/2022
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Cess.
Levy.
Vires of Income Support Act, 2013,
Challenged. The order of the High
Court is upheld by the Supreme Court
now with this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v.
Muhammad Zaki Bashir

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (115) C.R.P.140-K/2022
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Cess.
Levy.
Vires of Income Support Act, 2013,
Challenged. The order of the High
Court is upheld by the Supreme Court
now with this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v.
Sikandar Rahman

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (116) C.R.P.141-K/2022
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Cess.
Levy.
Vires of Income Support Act, 2013,
Challenged. The order of the High
Court is upheld by the Supreme Court
now with this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Usman
Ghani

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (117) C.R.P.142-K/2022
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Cess.
Levy.
Vires of Income Support Act, 2013,
Challenged. The order of the High
Court is upheld by the Supreme Court
now with this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Haji
Asif Karim

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (118) C.R.P.143-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article
188 of Constitution)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of
Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were
challenged by filing Writ Petitions before
High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed
by the High Court. CPLAs filed before
this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs
have been filed against the judgment of
this Court.
-Review jurisdiction of SC u/a 185(3) of
the Constitution of Pakistan, 1973.
- Income Support levy.
- W.P. before HC - dismissed - CPLA
also dismissed.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
[+]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Sheikh
Fazal Ahmed

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (119) C.R.P.144-K/2022
(Tax / Levy of)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of
Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were
challenged by filing Writ Petitions before
High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed
by the High Court. CPLAs filed before
this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs
have been filed against the judgment of
this Court.
- Review jurisdiction of SC u/A 185(3).
- WP before HC - dismissed.
- CPLA also dismissed.
- Now Review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mst.
Hawa Hameed Adamjee

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (120) C.R.P.145-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Whether a person is not liable to file
return to pay the levy a/w wealth
statement also income support levy had
all the characteristics of taxation and not
a fee.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Taimur
Rahman

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

- | | | | |
|-----------|---|--|--|
| and (121) | C.R.P.146-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Whether a person is not liable to file return to pay the levy a/w wealth statement also income support levy had all the characteristics of taxation and not a fee.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shahid Ansari | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (122) | C.R.P.147-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Income support levy had all the characteristics of taxation and not a fee. Whether a person is not liable to file return to pay the levy a/w wealth statement.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Rahim Bux Maitlo | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (123) | C.R.P.148-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were challenged by filing Writ Petitions before High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed by the High Court. CPLAs filed before this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs have been filed against the judgment of this Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Aqeel Karim Dhedhi | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (124) | C.R.P.149-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were challenged by filing Writ Petitions before High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed by the High Court. CPLAs filed before this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs have been filed against the judgment of this Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Sheikh Nasir Zaki | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (125) | C.R.P.150-K/2022
(Tax / Provincial Tax)
(This court dismissed CP wherein the judgment of HC declaring Income Support Levy Act 2013 and income support levy was unconstitutional was upheld and CP was dismissed; now review of the judgment of this Court is in the field.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Owais Salam | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |

- and (126) **C.R.P.151-K/2022**
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Cess.
Levy.
Vires of Income Support Act, 2013,
Challenged. The order of the High
Court is upheld by the Supreme Court
now with this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Aziz
Abdullah Vazir**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**
-
- and (127) **C.R.P.152-K/2022**
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Cess.
Levy.
Vires of Income Support Act, 2013,
Challenged. The order of the High
Court is upheld by the Supreme Court
now with this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v.
Muhammad Bashir**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**
-
- and (128) **C.R.P.153-K/2022**
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article
188 of Constitution)
(Tax/Income Support Levy/Vires of
Income Support Levy Act, 2013 were
challenged by filing Writ Petitions before
High Court. Writ Petitions were allowed
by the High Court. CPLAs filed before
this Court were dismissed. Now, CRPs
have been filed against the judgment of
this Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shaista
Khaliq Rehman**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**
-
- and (129) **C.R.P.154-K/2022**
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Cess.
Levy.
Vires of Income Support Act, 2013,
Challenged. The order of the High
Court is upheld by the Supreme Court
now with this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Nida
Lakhani**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**
-
- and (130) **C.R.P.155-K/2022**
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article
188 of Constitution)
(Levy.
Vires of the income support levy act,
2013, challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Danish
A Tapal**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**
-

and (131) C.R.P.156-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mst. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Nasim Yousuf (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 (Cess.
 Levy.
 Vires of Income Support Act, 2013,
 Challenged. The order of the High
 Court is upheld by the Supreme Court
 now with this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 2

and (132) C.R.P.157-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Zafar A Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Tapal (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 (Cess.
 Levy.
 Vires of Income Support Act, 2013,
 Challenged. The order of the High
 Court is upheld by the Supreme Court
 now with this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

and (133) C.R.P.158-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Khalid Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Aziz (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 (Federal Tax/ Levy----
 Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
 Challenged. The Supreme Court
 maintained the order of the High Court
 in CPLA.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

and (134) C.R.P.159-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mrs. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Nagina Nessar (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 (Cess.
 Levy.
 Vires of Income Support Act, 2013,
 Challenged. The order of the High
 Court is upheld by the Supreme Court
 now with this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

and (135) C.R.P.160-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Nasim Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Ahmed (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 (Federal Tax/ Levy----
 Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
 Challenged. The Supreme Court
 maintained the order of the High Court
 in CPLA.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

- and (136) **C.R.P.161-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Yusuf Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Hussain Shirazi (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Levy.
The Vires of Income Support Levy Act,
2013, was challenged, and the Supreme
Court upheld the judgment of the High
Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (137) **C.R.P.162-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Jamshed Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Malik (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (138) **C.R.P.163-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mst. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Isha Asim (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Levy.
The Vires of Income Support Levy Act,
2013, was challenged, and the Supreme
Court upheld the judgment of the High
Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (139) **C.R.P.164-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ghaffar Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article A. Habib (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution / Federal Tax) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Levy.
The Vires of Income Support Levy Act,
2013, was challenged, and the Supreme
Court upheld the judgment of the High
Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (140) **C.R.P.165-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Saqib Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Riaz Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
188 of Constitution) (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Federal Tax.
Levy.
The Vires of Income Support Levy Act,
2013, was challenged, and the Supreme
Court upheld the judgment of the High
Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-

- and (141) C.R.P.166-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Nadeem Ali Adamjee
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (142) C.R.P.167-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Aman Zafar
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (143) C.R.P.168-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ali Akbar Vasi
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (144) C.R.P.169-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Sharif
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (145) C.R.P.170-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Levy.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mrs.Nasima
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-

- and (146) C.R.P.171-K/2022
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Federal Tax.
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of income support levy Act, 2013,
challenged. The Supreme court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Syedna
Henna Babar
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch)
Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC
(Enrl#5550)(Kch)
-
- and (147) C.R.P.172-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article
188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax.
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of income support levy Act, 2013,
challenged. The Supreme court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ikhlas
Ahmed Siddiqui
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (148) C.R.P.173-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article
188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax.
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of income support levy Act, 2013,
challenged. The Supreme court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v.
Muhammad Tariq Niaz
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (149) C.R.P.174-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article
188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax.
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of income support levy Act, 2013,
challenged. The Supreme court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Imran
Abdul Karim
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (150) C.R.P.175-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article
188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax.
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of income support levy Act, 2013,
challenged. The Supreme court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Fawad
Yousuf
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-

- and (151) **C.R.P.176-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Muhammad Ahmed (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Federal Tax. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of income support levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (152) **C.R.P.177-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Khalid Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) S.Tirmizy (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Federal Tax. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of income support levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (153) **C.R.P.178-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Muhammad Samiullah Siddiqui (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Federal Tax. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of income support levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (154) **C.R.P.179-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Dilawar Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Hussain Agha (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Federal Tax/ Levy---- Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (155) **C.R.P.180-K/2022** Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Faraz Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Jawed (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Federal Tax/ Levy---- Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-

- and (156) C.R.P.181-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Anis ur Rehman
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (157) C.R.P.182-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax.
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of income support levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Jawaid Abdullah Qureshi & others
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (158) C.R.P.183-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax.
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of income support levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Imran Fayaz
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (159) C.R.P.184-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Syed Tahir Ahsan & others
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (160) C.R.P.185-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Zahid Saeed
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-

and (161)	C.R.P.186-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Levy. The Vires of Income Support Levy Act 2013 was challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mrs. Sharmeen Mushfiq	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (162)	C.R.P.187-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Levy. The Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, was challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Hina Aqeel	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (163)	C.R.P.188-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Levy. The Vires of Income Support Levy Act 2013 was challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Anjum Begum	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (164)	C.R.P.189-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Levy. The Vires of Income Support Levy Act 2013 was challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Abid H. Shaban & others	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) ----- Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch) Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)
and (165)	C.R.P.190-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Levy. The Vires of Income Support Levy Act 2013 was challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Jawed Hamid	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

- | | | | |
|-----------|--|---|---|
| and (166) | C.R.P.191-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax.
Levy.
The Vires of Income Support Levy Act 2013 was challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Amjad Iqbal & another | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch)
Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (167) | C.R.P.192-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax.
Levy.
The Vires of Income Support Levy Act 2013 was challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Jawaid Khurram | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (168) | C.R.P.193-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax.
Levy.
The Vires of Income Support Levy Act 2013 was challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Raymond Kotwal | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (169) | C.R.P.194-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Levy.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ferial Adamjee Hamid | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (170) | C.R.P.195-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Levy.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Junaid Pervez | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |

- and (171) C.R.P.196-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Hamid Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Ali Khan (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Levy.
The Vires of Income Support Levy Act
2013 was challenged. The Supreme
Court maintained the order of the High
Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (172) C.R.P.197-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shakeel Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Abu Baker (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Levy.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (173) C.R.P.198-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Altaf Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Hussain Agha (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Levy.
The Vires of Income Support Levy Act
2013 was challenged. The Supreme
Court maintained the order of the High
Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (174) C.R.P.199-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Asif Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Riaz (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Article 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High
Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (175) C.R.P.200-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shaukat Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Tax / Federal Tax) Ellahi Shaikh (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Levy.
Vires of the income support levy act,
2013, challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-

and (176) **C.R.P.201-K/2022**
 (Tax / Federal Tax)
 (Levy.
 Vires of the income support levy act,
 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court
 maintained the order of the High Court
 in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

**Commissioner Inland Revenue v.
 Muhammad Aslam Sanjrani**

**Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**

and (177) **C.R.P.202-K/2022**
 (Tax / Federal Tax)
 (Levy.
 Vires of the income support levy act,
 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court
 maintained the order of the High Court
 in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

**Commissioner Inland Revenue v.
 Hameeda Abu Baker**

**Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**

and (178) **C.R.P.203-K/2022**
 (Tax / Federal Tax)
 (Levy.
 Vires of the income support levy act,
 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court
 maintained the order of the High Court
 in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

**Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ayesha
 Aqeel**

**Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**

and (179) **C.R.P.204-K/2022**
 (Tax / Federal Tax)
 (Levy.
 Vires of the income support levy act,
 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court
 maintained the order of the High Court
 in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

**Commissioner Inland Revenue v. The
 Sindh High Court Bar Association &
 another**

**Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**

and (180) **C.R.P.205-K/2022**
 (Tax / Income Tax)
 (The Petitioner was recovering the
 Income Support levy for the period the
 Income Support Levy Act. 2013
 subsisted. The Respondents filed W.P.
 petitions in High Court challenging the
 constitutionality of the Act which were
 decided in their favor. Department filed
 CPLAs before Supreme Court which
 were Dismissed.
 (Constitution Matter))
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

**Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Sheikh
 Muhammad Yasin**

**Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)**

- and (181) C.R.P.206-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Irfan
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (182) C.R.P.207-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Khalid & others
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (183) C.R.P.208-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Hina Yousuf
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (184) C.R.P.209-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. S.M. Mansoor Ali Allahwala
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-

and (185) C.R.P.210-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Hamida Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Fatin Niazi (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (186) C.R.P.211-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Amir Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Tajdin & others (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (187) C.R.P.212-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Tanveer Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Ahmed & others (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (188) C.R.P.213-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shirala Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Malick (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (189) C.R.P.214-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Asif Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Nessar (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (190) C.R.P.215-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Rais Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Ahmed (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (191) C.R.P.216-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ayesha Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Aziz (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (192) C.R.P.217-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Hafiz Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Javed Jalil (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (193) C.R.P.218-K/2022
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Tax.
 Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Umair Amanullah

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (194) C.R.P.219-K/2022
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Tax.
 Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Kashif A Habib

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (195) C.R.P.220-K/2022
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Tax.
 Income Support Levy Act, 2013.
 The Petitiomer was recovering the income support levy for the period the income support Levy Acr, 2013. subsisted. The respondents filed. W.P. petitions in High Court challenging the constitutionaliaty of the Act, which were decided in their favor. The department filed CPLAs before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Changez H.Niazi & others

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (196) C.R.P.221-K/2022
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Tax.
 Income Support Levy Act, 2013.
 The Petitiomer was recovering the income support levy for the period the income support Levy Acr, 2013. subsisted. The respondents filed. W.P. petitions in High Court challenging the constitutionaliaty of the Act, which were decided in their favor. The department filed CPLAs before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Saleem Ghelli & others

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

- and (197) **C.R.P.222-K/2022**
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Federal Tax.
 Cess/Duty/Levy.
 Constitutional Bench Matter.
 Vires of Income Supply Levy Act, 2013, Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Akbar Ali & others**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (198) **C.R.P.223-K/2022**
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Federal Tax.
 Cess/Duty/Levy.
 Constitutional Bench Matter.
 Vires of Income Supply Levy Act, 2013, Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Saleem Mandiwalla & others**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (199) **C.R.P.224-K/2022**
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Federal Tax.
 Cess/Duty/Levy.
 Constitutional Bench Matter.
 Vires of Income Supply Levy Act, 2013, Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mustafa Saya & others**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch)
Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)
-
- and (200) **C.R.P.225-K/2022**
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
 (Federal Tax.
 Cess.
 Duty.
 Levy
 Constitutional Bench Matter.
 Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Syed Muhammad Ali Khalid**
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)**
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-

and (201) C.R.P.226-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Syed Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Khalid Jamal (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 (Federal Tax.
 Cess/Duty/Levy.
 Constitutional Bench Matter.
 Vires of Income Supply Levy Act, 2013,
 Challenged. The Supreme Court
 maintained the order of the High Court
 in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

and (202) C.R.P.227-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ebrahim Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Tax / Federal Tax) Qassim & others (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 (Federal Tax. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 Cess.
 Duty.
 Levy
 Constitutional Bench Matter.
 Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
 Challenged. The Supreme Court
 maintained the order of the High Court
 in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

and (203) C.R.P.228-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Tax / Federal Tax) Muhammad Iqbal Mandavia & others (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 (Federal Tax. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 Cess.
 Duty.
 Levy
 Constitutional Bench Matter.
 Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
 Challenged. The Supreme Court
 maintained the order of the High Court
 in CPLA. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

and (204) C.R.P.229-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Zaman Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Tax / Income Tax) Gulzar & others (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 (- Constitutional matter. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 -Money Bill under Article 73(2) -
 Difference between Article3 73(2) (a)
 and 73 (2) (c).
 - Amount raised by ISL would to into
 the fund then again it is money bill.
 - CPLA was dismissed.
 - Now Review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

and (205) C.R.P.230-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Agha Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Jamshed (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013.
The Petitioner was recovering the income support levy for the period the income support Levy Act, 2013. subsisted. The respondents filed. W.P. petitions in High Court challenging the constitutionality of the Act, which were decided in their favor. The department filed CPLAs before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (206) C.R.P.231-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Jan-e- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Tax / Income Tax) Alam Fazli (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(- Constitutional matter. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-Money Bill under Article 73(2) -
Difference between Article 73(2) (a) and 73 (2) (c).
- Amount raised by ISL would go into the fund then again it is money bill.
- CPLA was dismissed.
- Now Review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (207) C.R.P.232-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Lukman (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013.
The Petitioner was recovering the income support levy for the period the income support Levy Act, 2013. subsisted. The respondents filed. W.P. petitions in High Court challenging the constitutionality of the Act, which were decided in their favor. The department filed CPLAs before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.decided in their favor. The department filed CPLAs before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (208) C.R.P.233-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Abid Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Nisar (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013.
The Petitioner was recovering the income support levy for the period the income support Levy Act, 2013. subsisted. The respondents filed. W.P. petitions in High Court challenging the constitutionality of the Act, which were decided in their favor. The department filed CPLAs before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

- and (209) C.R.P.234-K/2022
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Vires of the Income Support Levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Rizwan Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (210) C.R.P.235-K/2022
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Federal Tax.
Cess.
Duty.
Levy
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Abdul Rehman Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (211) C.R.P.236-K/2022
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Federal Tax.
Cess.
Duty.
Levy
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Dr. Naila Zahid Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (212) C.R.P.237-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Javed Akbar Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (213) C.R.P.238-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Review.
Federal/cess/levy/tax.
Vires of income support Act 2013, challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ahfaz Mustafa Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-

and (214) C.R.P.239-K/2022
 (Tax / Income Tax)
 (Review.
 Federal/cess/levy/tax.
 Vires of income support Act 2013,
 challenged in W.P. before the High
 Court. The Supreme Court upheld the
 order of the High Court.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
 1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Yasir
 Younus Orawala

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (215) C.R.P.240-K/2022
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article
 188 of Constitution)
 (Tax.
 Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The
 petitioner was recovering the Income
 Suppoer Levy for the period the Income
 suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The
 respondents filed W.P. petitions in high
 court challenging the constitutionality of
 the Act which were decided in their favor.
 department filed CPLA's before the
 Supreme Court, which were dismissed.
 Hence, this review.)
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Faisal
 Ahmed & others

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (216) C.R.P.241-K/2022
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article
 188 of Constitution)
 (Tax.
 Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The
 petitioner was recovering the Income
 Suppoer Levy for the period the Income
 suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The
 respondents filed W.P. petitions in high
 court challenging the constitutionality of
 the Act which were decided in their favor.
 department filed CPLA's before the
 Supreme Court, which were dismissed.
 Hence, this review.)
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ghulam
 Nabi Qureshi

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (217) C.R.P.242-K/2022
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article
 188 of Constitution)
 (Tax.
 Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The
 petitioner was recovering the Income
 Suppoer Levy for the period the Income
 suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The
 respondents filed W.P. petitions in high
 court challenging the constitutionality of
 the Act which were decided in their favor.
 department filed CPLA's before the
 Supreme Court, which were dismissed.
 Hence, this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Kashif
 Riaz

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (218) C.R.P.243-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Shahbaz Yasin Malik (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 (Tax. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (219) C.R.P.244-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Hussain Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Dawood (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 (Tax. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (220) C.R.P.245-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mrs. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Farnaz Ahmed (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 (Tax Laws. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 Income Support Levy Act 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Support Levy for the period the Income Support Levy Act, 2013 subsisted. The respondent filed W.P petitioner in High Court challenging the constitutionally of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA'S before Supreme Court which were dismissed. Hence this Review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (221) C.R.P.246-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Muhammad Amin (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 (Tax Laws. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 Income Support Levy Act 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Support Levy for the period the Income Support Levy Act, 2013 subsisted. The respondent filed W.P petitioner in High Court challenging the constitutionally of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA'S before Supreme Court which were dismissed. Hence this Review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (222) C.R.P.247-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Aslam (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax Laws.
Income Support Levy Act 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Support Levy for the period the Income Support Levy Act, 2013 subsisted. The respondent filed W.P petitioner in High Court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA'S before Supreme Court which were dismissed. Hence this Review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (223) C.R.P.248-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Yousuf Tinwala (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax Laws.
Income Support Levy Act 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Support Levy for the period the Income Support Levy Act, 2013 subsisted. The respondent filed W.P petitioner in High Court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA'S before Supreme Court which were dismissed. Hence this Review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (224) C.R.P.249-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mrs. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muneera Junaid (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax Laws.
Income Support Levy Act 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Support Levy for the period the Income Support Levy Act, 2013 subsisted. The respondent filed W.P petitioner in High Court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA'S before Supreme Court which were dismissed. Hence this Review.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (225) C.R.P.250-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Ghufraan (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax Laws.
Income Support Levy Act 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Support Levy for the period the Income Support Levy Act, 2013 subsisted. The respondent filed W.P petitioner in High Court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA'S before Supreme Court which were dismissed. Hence this Review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

- and (226) C.R.P.251-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Owais Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Shamim (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(The challenge to the infrastructure
fee/cess imposed by Sindh province was
challenged. The High Court disposed of
petitions.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (227) C.R.P.252-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Nizar Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Noor Mohammad (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of the Income Support Levy Act,
2013. Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (228) C.R.P.253-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Natasha Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Iqbal (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of the Income Support Levy Act,
2013. Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (229) C.R.P.254-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Salma Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Tax / Provincial Tax) Shahbaz Malik (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Constitutional Bench matter. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
Challenge to the constitutionality of
Sindh Sales Tax Ordinance, 2000 and
certain provisions of the Sindh Sales Tax
on Services Act, 2011, and the Federal
Excise Act, 2005.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (230) C.R.P.255-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Imran Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Yunus (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013,
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-

- and (231) C.R.P.256-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Abdul Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Rashid Jan Muhammad (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013,
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (232) C.R.P.257-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Asim Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Azim Siddiqui (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013,
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (233) C.R.P.258-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Abdul Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Samad Dawood (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax Laws.
Income Support Levy Act 2013. The
petitioner was recovering the Income
Support Levy for the period the Income
Support Levy Act, 2013 subsisted. The
respondent filed W.P petitioner in High
Court challenging the constitutionality of
the Act which were decided in their favor.
Department filed CPLA'S before
Supreme Court which were dismissed.
Hence this Review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (234) C.R.P.259-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ronaq Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Iqbal Ali Lakhani (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013,
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch)
Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC
(Enrl#5550)(Kch)
-
- and (235) C.R.P.260-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shakeel Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Anees (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of income supporting the Levy
Act, 2013, were challenged. The
Supreme Court maintained the order of
the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-

- and (236) C.R.P.261-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Shafiq (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of income supporting the Levy
Act, 2013, were challenged. The
Supreme Court maintained the order of
the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (237) C.R.P.262-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Anwar Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Yahya & others (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of income supporting the Levy
Act, 2013, were challenged. The
Supreme Court maintained the order of
the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (238) C.R.P.263-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Yasin Malik (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of income supporting the Levy
Act, 2013, were challenged. The
Supreme Court maintained the order of
the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (239) C.R.P.264-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Mehmood Ameen Moon (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of income supporting the Levy
Act, 2013, were challenged. The
Supreme Court maintained the order of
the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
2

Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch)
Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC
(Enrl#5550)(Kch)
-
- and (240) C.R.P.265-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Iqbal Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Hassan (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of income supporting the Levy
Act, 2013, were challenged. The
Supreme Court maintained the order of
the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
2
-

and (241)	C.R.P.266-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Review. Federal/cess/levy/tax. Vires of income support Act 2013, challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mr. Abdul Salam	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (242)	C.R.P.267-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Review. Federal/cess/levy/tax. Vires of income support Act 2013, challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Asad Sayeed	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (243)	C.R.P.268-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax/levy vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenged. Supreme Court maintained order of High Court in CPLA.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Saleem & others	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (244)	C.R.P.269-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Review. Federal/cess/levy/tax. Vires of income support Act 2013, challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Baqi Moulvi	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (245)	C.R.P.270-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Review. Federal/cess/levy/tax. Vires of income support Act 2013, challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Aamir	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (246)	C.R.P.271-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax/Levy. Vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenged. Supreme Court maintained order of High Court in CPLA.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Yahya	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

-
- and (247) C.R.P.272-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mr. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Danish Iqbal (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Levy.
The Vires of Income Support Levy Act
2013 was challenged. The Supreme
Court maintained the order of the High
Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (248) C.R.P.273-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Fawad Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Anwar (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court.
in this CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (249) C.R.P.274-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Anwar Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Hamid (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Article 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High
Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (250) C.R.P.275-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shahid Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Yasin Malik (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013,
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (251) C.R.P.276-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mst. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Rasheeda Khawar (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013,
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-

and (252)	C.R.P.277-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mrs. Nighat Tariq	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (253)	C.R.P.278-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Miss Rabia Butt	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (254)	C.R.P.279-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Review. Federal/cess/levy/tax. Vires of income support Act 2013, challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Iqbal Ali Lakhani	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) ----- Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch) Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)
and (255)	C.R.P.280-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Azim Ahmed	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) ----- Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch) Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)
and (256)	C.R.P.281-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Iftikhar Ahmed Soomro	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (257)	C.R.P.282-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Zulfiqar Ali Lakhani	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) ----- Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch) Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)

and (258)	C.R.P.283-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Tariq	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (259)	C.R.P.284-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Vires of the Income Support Levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Irfan Ghazi	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (260)	C.R.P.285-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Vires of the Income Support Levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Umair Feroze	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (261)	C.R.P.286-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Levy. The vires of the Income Support Levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Yousuf Ahmed	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (262)	C.R.P.287-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of the income support levy act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shahzada Dawood	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (263)	C.R.P.288-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of the income support levy act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Sharique Azim Siddiqui	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (264)	C.R.P.289-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Levy. The vires of the Income Support Levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Madiha Mahmood Moulsvi	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (265)	C.R.P.290-K/2022 (Tax / Levy of) (Petitioner respondent challenged the Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the same was challenged in SC. SC maintained order of the High Court. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Tariq Mangrani	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (266)	C.R.P.291-K/2022 (Tax / Levy of) (Petitioner respondent challenged the Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the same was challenged in SC. SC maintained order of the High Court. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mumtaz Uddin Feroz & others	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (267)	C.R.P.292-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Petitioner respondent challenged the Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the same was challenged in SC. SC maintained order of the High Court. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Saad Iqbal	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (268)	C.R.P.293-K/2022 (Tax / Levy of) (Petitioner respondent challenged the Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the same was challenged in SC. SC maintained order of the High Court. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shahzaib Akhtar	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (269)	C.R.P.294-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Constitutional Bench Matter. Vires of income support levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Munawar Ali Fecto	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (270) C.R.P.295-K/2022
(Tax / Levy of)
(Petitioner respondent challenged the Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the same was challenged in SC. SC maintained order of the High Court. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Abdul Rehman

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (271) C.R.P.296-K/2022
(Tax / Levy of)
(Petitioner respondent challenged the Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the same was challenged in SC. SC maintained order of the High Court. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Amanullah

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (272) C.R.P.297-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Petitioner respondent challenged the Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the same was challenged in SC. SC maintained order of the High Court. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Dr. Syed Zahid Jamal

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (273) C.R.P.298-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Levy. Vires of the Income Support Levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Hyder Uddin Ahmed & others

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch)
Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)

and (274) C.R.P.299-K/2022
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Levy. Vires of the Income Support Levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Irshad Sultan Mowjee

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

- and (275) C.R.P.300-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Abdul Aziz Rafiq & others
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch)
Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)
-
- and (276) C.R.P.301-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Tax Laws.
Income Support Levy Act 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Support Levy for the period the Income Support Levy Act, 2013 subsisted. The respondent filed W.P petitioner in High Court challenging the constitutionally of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA'S before Supreme Court which were dismissed. Hence this Review.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Kamran Kasim
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (277) C.R.P.302-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Tax Laws.
Income Support Levy Act 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Support Levy for the period the Income Support Levy Act, 2013 subsisted. The respondent filed W.P petitioner in High Court challenging the constitutionally of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA'S before Supreme Court which were dismissed. Hence this Review.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ahmed Ebrahim
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (278) C.R.P.303-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Tax Laws.
Income Support Levy Act 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Support Levy for the period the Income Support Levy Act, 2013 subsisted. The respondent filed W.P petitioner in High Court challenging the constitutionally of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA'S before Supreme Court which were dismissed. Hence this Review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ali Raza Gheeweala
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-

- and (279) C.R.P.304-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Sadruddin Jafeer Ali Morani (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax Laws.
Income Support Levy Act 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Support Levy for the period the Income Support Levy Act, 2013 subsisted. The respondent filed W.P petitioner in High Court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA'S before Supreme Court which were dismissed. Hence this Review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (280) C.R.P.305-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Abdul Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Wahid (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax Laws.
Income Support Levy Act 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Support Levy for the period the Income Support Levy Act, 2013 subsisted. The respondent filed W.P petitioner in High Court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA'S before Supreme Court which were dismissed. Hence this Review.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (281) C.R.P.306-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Azam Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Sultan (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Against Supreme Court.
Petitioner was recovering the income support levy for the period income support levy Act 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P challenging constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA before Supreme Court which were dismissed.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (282) C.R.P.307-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Irfan Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Tax / Income Tax) Ahmed (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Review. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
Federal Tax/Cess Levy.
Vires of the Income Support Act, 2013. Challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1
-
- and (283) C.R.P.308-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ahmed Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Tax / Federal Tax) Muhammad Ismail (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Levy. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
Vires of the Income Support Levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (284)	C.R.P.309-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of the Income Support Levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Yusuf Ayoob	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (285)	C.R.P.310-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of the income support levy act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Rasheed Barket	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (286)	C.R.P.311-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of the income support levy act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Aameer Mustaaly Karachi Wala	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (287)	C.R.P.312-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Against Supreme Court. Petitioner was recovering the income support levy for the period income support levy Act 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P challenging constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA before Supreme Court which were dismissed.) (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Azneem Bilwani	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (288)	C.R.P.313-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Against Supreme Court. Petitioner was recovering the income support levy for the period income support levy Act 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P challenging constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA before Supreme Court which were dismissed.) (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Naveed Anwar	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (289) C.R.P.314-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Amin Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Lakhani (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Against Supreme Court. -----
Petitioner was recovering the income Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR
support levy for the period income (Enrl#258)(Kch)
support levy Act 2013 subsisted. The Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC
respondents filed W.P challenging (Enrl#5550)(Kch)
constitutionality of the Act which were
decided in their favor. Department filed
CPLA before Supreme Court which were
dismissed.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (290) C.R.P.315-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Zubair Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Shamim (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Against SC/Tax.

Petitioner was recovering the income
support levy for the period income
support levy act subsisted. The
respondent filed W.P challenging
constitutionality of the Act which were
decided in their favor. Department filed
CPLA before supreme court which were
dismissed.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (291) C.R.P.316-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Syed Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Babar Ali (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Against SC/Tax.

Petitioner was recovering the income
support levy for the period income
support levy act subsisted. The
respondent filed W.P challenging
constitutionality of the Act which were
decided in their favor. Department filed
CPLA before supreme court which were
dismissed.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (292) C.R.P.317-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Aslam (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013.
The Petitioner was recovering the income
support levy for the period the income
support Levy Acr, 2013. subsisted. The
respondents filed. W.P. petitions in High
Court challenging the constitutionality
of the Act, which were decided in their
favor. The department filed CPLAs
before the Supreme Court, which were
dismissed. Hence, this review.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (293) C.R.P.318-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Sohail Tabba & others (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 (Against SC/Tax.)

Petitioner was recovering the income support levy for the period income support levy act subsisted. The respondent filed W.P challenging constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA before supreme court which were dismissed.)
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (294) C.R.P.319-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Aslam Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Usman Allawala (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 (Against SC/Tax.)

Petitioner was recovering the income support levy for the period income support levy act subsisted. The respondent filed W.P challenging constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA before supreme court which were dismissed.)
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (295) C.R.P.320-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Tax / Income Tax) Muhammad Naseem & others (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 (Review. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 Federal Tax.
 Levy.
 Petitioner respondent challenged the Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the same was challenged in SC. SC maintained order of the High Court. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (296) C.R.P.321-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Arif Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
 (Tax / Income Tax) Habib (Enrl#198)(Kch)
 (Review. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
 Federal Tax.
 Levy.
 Petitioner respondent challenged the Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the same was challenged in SC. SC maintained order of the High Court. Now this review.)
 [-]
 (D.B.)
 [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (297)	<p>C.R.P.322-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Review. Federal Tax. Levy. Petitioner respondent challenged the Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the same was challenged in SC. SC maintained order of the High Court. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Irfan Muneer & others</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p>
and (298)	<p>C.R.P.323-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Review. Federal Tax. Levy. Petitioner respondent challenged the Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the same was challenged in SC. SC maintained order of the High Court. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Iqbal Ali Mohammad</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p>
and (299)	<p>C.R.P.324-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. The vires of the Income Support Levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Anwar Lakhani</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p>
and (300)	<p>C.R.P.325-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of the income support levy act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mohammad Asad Fecto & another</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p>
and (301)	<p>C.R.P.326-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of the income support levy act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. S.M. Naseem Allawala</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p>

- and (302) C.R.P.327-K/2022
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Levy.
Vires of the income support levy act,
2013, challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Tabish
M.Tapal
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (303) C.R.P.328-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Review.
Federal Tax.
Levy.
Petitioner respondent challenged the
Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the
same was challenged in SC. SC
maintained order of the High Court.
Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v.
Muhammad Iqbal
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (304) C.R.P.329-K/2022
(Tax / Provincial Tax)
(SCP dismissed CP wherein the
judgement of HC declaring Income
Support Levy Act 2013 and Income
Support Levy was unconstitutional was
upheld and CP was dismissed; now
review o judgment of SCP in the field)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Sherood
Hasan Ali Khan
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (305) C.R.P.330-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Review.
Federal Tax.
Levy.
Petitioner respondent challenged the
Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the
same was challenged in SC. SC
maintained order of the High Court.
Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Moiz Ali
Tapal
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (306) C.R.P.331-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Review.
Federal Tax.
Levy.
Petitioner respondent challenged the
Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the
same was challenged in SC. SC
maintained order of the High Court.
Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Noor
Muhmmad
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-

- and (307) C.R.P.332-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Review.
Federal Tax.
Levy.
Petitioner respondent challenged the
Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the
same was challenged in SC. SC
maintained order of the High Court.
Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v.
Muhammad Iqbal
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (308) C.R.P.333-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Review.
Federal Tax.
Levy.
Petitioner respondent challenged the
Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the
same was challenged in SC. SC
maintained order of the High Court.
Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v.
Muhammad Saleem
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (309) C.R.P.334-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Review.
Federal Tax.
Levy.
Petitioner respondent challenged the
Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the
same was challenged in SC. SC
maintained order of the High Court.
Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mehvish
A Tapal & others
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (310) C.R.P.335-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Review.
Federal Tax.
Levy.
Petitioner respondent challenged the
Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the
same was challenged in SC. SC
maintained order of the High Court.
Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v.
Khawaja Aamir Ishaq
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
-
- and (311) C.R.P.336-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Review.
Federal Tax.
Levy.
Petitioner respondent challenged the
Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the
same was challenged in SC. SC
maintained order of the High Court.
Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
- Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Farhana
Mowjee
- Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (312) C.R.P.337-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Review.
Federal Tax.
Levy.
Petitioner respondent challenged the
Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the
same was challenged in SC. SC
maintained order of the High Court.
Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Sultan
Ali Lakhani

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR
(Enrl#258)(Kch)
Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC
(Enrl#5550)(Kch)

and (313) C.R.P.338-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Review.
Federal Tax.
Levy.
Petitioner respondent challenged the
Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the
same was challenged in SC. SC
maintained order of the High Court.
Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shaikh
Muhammad Tanveer & others

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (314) C.R.P.339-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
(Review.
Federal Tax.
Levy.
Petitioner respondent challenged the
Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the
same was challenged in SC. SC
maintained order of the High Court.
Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Salman
Ahmed

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (315) C.R.P.340-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article
188 of Constitution)
(Against SC/Tax.

Petitioner was recovering the income
support levy for the period income
support levy act subsisted. The
respondent filed W.P challenging
constitutionality of the Act which were
decided in their favor. Department filed
CPLA before supreme court which were
dismissed.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

Commissioner Inland Revenue v.
Muhammad Iqbal

Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (316) C.R.P.341-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Bilal Ali Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Lakhani (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Against SC/Tax. -----
Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR
(Enrl#258)(Kch)
Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC
(Enrl#5550)(Kch)

Petitioner was recovering the income support levy for the period income support levy act subsisted. The respondent filed W.P challenging constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. Department filed CPLA before supreme court which were dismissed.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (317) C.R.P.342-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Tajwar Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article M Tapal (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (318) C.R.P.343-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Saeed Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Allawala (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (319) C.R.P.344-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shoaib Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Shamim (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (320) C.R.P.345-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Usman (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The petitioner was recovering the Income Suppoer Levy for the period the Income suppoer Levy act, 2013 subsisted. The respondents filed W.P. petitions in high court challenging the constitutionality of the Act which were decided in their favor. department filed CPLA's before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (321) C.R.P.346-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shahid Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Anwar Tata (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The Petitioner was recovering the income support levy for the period the income support Levy Acr, 2013. subsisted. The respondents filed. W.P. petitions in High Court challenging the constitutionality of the Act, which were decided in their favor. The department filed CPLAs before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (322) C.R.P.347-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Tariq Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Janoo (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013. The Petitioner was recovering the income support levy for the period the income support Levy Acr, 2013. subsisted. The respondents filed. W.P. petitions in High Court challenging the constitutionality of the Act, which were decided in their favor. The department filed CPLAs before the Supreme Court, which were dismissed. Hence, this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (323) C.R.P.348-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ghazala Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Khalid & others (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Constitutional Bench Matter. Vires of income support levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

- | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|---|
| and (324) | C.R.P.349-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Review.
Federal Tax.
Levy.
Petitioner respondent challenged the Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the same was challenged in SC. SC maintained order of the High Court. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ali Hashim | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

Abdul Qadir Khan, ASC (Enrl#2627)(Kch) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (325) | C.R.P.350-K/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
((Summary Suit))
[-]
(D.B.) | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Asif Inam | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

K. A. Wahab, AOR (Enrl#153)(Kch)
Iqbal Salman Pasha, ASC (Enrl#2667)(Kch) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (326) | C.R.P.351-K/2022
(Tax / Federal Tax)
((Levy.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Sherood Hasan Ali Khan | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (327) | C.R.P.352-K/2022
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Levy.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Saba Haleem Siddiqui | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (328) | C.R.P.353-K/2022
(Tax / Federal Tax)
(Levy.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shabbir Ahmed & others | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |
| <hr/> | | | |
| and (329) | C.R.P.354-K/2022
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution)
(Federal Tax.
Levy.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1 | Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Tasleemuddin Batlay | Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) |

and (330)	C.R.P.355-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Levy. Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Khurram Inam	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) ----- K. A. Wahab, AOR (Enrl#153)(Kch) Iqbal Salman Pasha, ASC (Enrl#2667)(Kch)
and (331)	C.R.P.356-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax/ Levy---- Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Babar Ali	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) ----- Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch) Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)
and (332)	C.R.P.357-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax/ Levy---- Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Iqbal	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (333)	C.R.P.358-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax/ Levy---- Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Gul Muhammad	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (334)	C.R.P.359-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Vires of income supporting the Levy Act, 2013, were challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Kamran Yousuf Mirza	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (335)	C.R.P.360-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Vires of income supporting the Levy Act, 2013, were challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shaikh Muhammad Raffay	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (336)	C.R.P.361-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Summary Suit)) [-] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Amin A. Hashwani & others	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) ----- Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch) Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)
and (337)	C.R.P.362-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Vires of income supporting the Levy Act, 2013, were challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Abdul Majeed	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (338)	C.R.P.363-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Tariq Rafi	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (339)	C.R.P.364-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Summary Suit)) [-] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Hasan Ali Khan	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (340)	C.R.P.365-K/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mohammad Haroon	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) ----- Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch) Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)
and (341)	C.R.P.366-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Summary Suit)) [-] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Al Noor Akbary Asmeen Agha & others	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) ----- Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch) Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)

and (342)	C.R.P.367-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Sadruddin Hashwani	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (343)	C.R.P.368-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Aly M. Rawjee & others	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) ----- Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch) Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)
and (344)	C.R.P.369-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shakil-ur-Rehman	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (345)	C.R.P.370-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.) (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Ghulam Ali P. Allana	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (346)	C.R.P.371-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.) (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Yaqoob Ahmed	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (347)	C.R.P.372-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.) (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Hanif & others	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (348)	C.R.P.373-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.) (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Khawar Masood Butt	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (349)	C.R.P.382-K/2022 (Tax / Levy of) (Petitioner respondent challenged the Income Support Levy Act, 2013; the same was challenged in SC. SC maintained order of the High Court. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Kashif Naseer	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (350)	C.R.P.383-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Constitutional Bench Matter. Vires of income support levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Jehangir Shakoor	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (351)	C.R.P.384-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Constitutional Bench Matter. Vires of income support levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Ejaz	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (352)	C.R.P.385-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Constitutional Bench Matter. Vires of income support levy Act, 2013, challenged. The Supreme court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Natasha Lakhani	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) ----- Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch) Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)
and (353)	C.R.P.386-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution / Income Tax) (Federal Tax. Vires of income supporting the Levy Act, 2013, were challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Anwar Haji Karim & others	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (354) C.R.P.387-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Muhammad Ahmed Mannan (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Article 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of income supporting the Levy
Act, 2013, were challenged. The
Supreme Court maintained the order of
the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (355) C.R.P.388-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Syed Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Sani (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of income supporting the Levy
Act, 2013, were challenged. The
Supreme Court maintained the order of
the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (356) C.R.P.389-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Shayan Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Akber Ali (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of income supporting the Levy
Act, 2013, were challenged. The
Supreme Court maintained the order of
the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (357) C.R.P.390-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Mustansir Zakir (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of income supporting the Levy
Act, 2013, were challenged. The
Supreme Court maintained the order of
the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (358) C.R.P.391-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Azhar Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Iqbal (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Vires of income supporting the Levy
Act, 2013, were challenged. The
Supreme Court maintained the order of
the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (359) C.R.P.392-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Altaf Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Tax / Income Tax) Hashwani (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Review. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
Federal Tax/Cess Levy.
Vires of the Income Support Act, 2013.
Challenged in W.P. before the High
Court. The Supreme Court upheld the
order of the High Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (360) C.R.P.393-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Suleman & others (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Tax.
Income Support Levy Act, 2013.
The Petitioner was recovering the income
support levy for the period the income
support Levy Act, 2013. subsisted. The
respondents filed. W.P. petitions in High
Court challenging the constitutionality
of the Act, which were decided in their
favor. The department filed CPLAs
before the Supreme Court, which were
dismissed. Hence, this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1

and (361) C.R.P.394-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Hussain Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Ahmed Fazal (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Article 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High
Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (362) C.R.P.395-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Asif Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Misbah (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Levy.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (363) C.R.P.396-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Najamul Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Hassan (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Levy.
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1

and (364)	C.R.P.397-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Levy. Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 2	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Duraid Qureshi	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (365)	C.R.P.398-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Levy. Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Hasan Jalil	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (366)	C.R.P.399-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Levy. Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Faisal Shakeel	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (367)	C.R.P.400-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Review. Federal Tax/Cess Levy. Vires of the Income Support Act, 2013. Challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Munir Bhimjee	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) ----- Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch) Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)
and (368)	C.R.P.401-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Review. Federal Tax/Cess Levy. Vires of the Income Support Act, 2013. Challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Rashid Aziz	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (369)	C.R.P.402-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Review. Federal Tax/Cess Levy. Vires of the Income Support Act, 2013. Challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Zafar Iqbal Awan	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (370)	C.R.P.403-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Review. Federal Tax/Cess Levy. Vires of the Income Support Act, 2013. Challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Nausheen Ahmed	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (371)	C.R.P.404-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Review. Federal Tax/Cess Levy. Vires of the Income Support Act, 2013. Challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Iqbal Haji Ebrahim & others	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (372)	C.R.P.405-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Review. Federal Tax/Cess Levy. Vires of the Income Support Act, 2013. Challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Qazi Sajid Ali	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
and (373)	C.R.P.406-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Review. Federal Tax/Cess Levy. Vires of the Income Support Act, 2013. Challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Imran-ul-Ghani	Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)

and (374)	<p>C.R.P.407-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Review. Federal Tax/Cess Levy. Vires of the Income Support Act, 2013. Challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Osman Asghar Khan</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p>
and (375)	<p>C.R.P.408-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Review. Federal Tax/Cess Levy. Vires of the Income Support Act, 2013. Challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Adil Shakeel</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p>
and (376)	<p>C.R.P.409-K/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Review. Federal Tax/Cess Levy. Vires of the Income Support Act, 2013. Challenged in W.P. before the High Court. The Supreme Court upheld the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Hadi Akber Ali</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p>
and (377)	<p>C.R.P.410-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax/ Levy---- Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Feroz Rizvi</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) ----- Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch) Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)</p>
and (378)	<p>C.R.P.411-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax/ Levy---- Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Nasreen Akhtar</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p>

- and (379) C.R.P.412-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Mansoor Ahmed (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Federal Tax. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
Vires of income supporting the Levy Act, 2013, were challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (380) C.R.P.413-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Muhammad Aslam Motiwala (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Federal Tax. Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
Vires of income supporting the Levy Act, 2013, were challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (381) C.R.P.414-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Aquila Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Saeed (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Federal Tax/ Levy---- Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (382) C.R.P.415-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Ehtisham Raees (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Federal Tax/ Levy---- Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (383) C.R.P.416-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) Ferozuddin Khan (Enrl#198)(Kch)
(Federal Tax/ Levy---- Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013 Challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-

- and (384) C.R.P.417-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Salik Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Sajid (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Article 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High
Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (385) C.R.P.418-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Najeeb Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Malik (Enrl#198)(Kch)
Article 188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax/ Levy----
Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013
Challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High
Court.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (386) C.R.P.419-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Yasmin Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Anjani (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Cess.
Duty.
Levy.
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of Income support levy Act, 2013,
was challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
1
-
- and (387) C.R.P.421-K/2022 Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR
(Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article Muhammad Asif Shaikh (Enrl#198)(Kch)
188 of Constitution) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)
(Federal Tax.
Cess.
Duty.
Levy.
Constitutional Bench Matter.
Vires of Income support levy Act, 2013,
was challenged. The Supreme Court
maintained the order of the High Court
in CPLA. Now this review.)
[-]
(D.B.)
[A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J +
2
-

and (387)	<p>C.R.P.420-K/2022 (Review Jurisdiction of SC under Article 188 of Constitution) (Federal Tax. Cess. Duty. Levy. Constitutional Bench Matter. Vires of Income support levy Act, 2013, was challenged. The Supreme Court maintained the order of the High Court in CPLA. Now this review.) [-] (D.B.) [A]Mr. Justice Amin-Ud-Din Khan , J + 1</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Nasim Beg</p>	<p>Mazhar Ali B. Chohan, AOR (Enrl#198)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126)</p>
and (388)	<p>C.A.658/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Income Support Levy Act 2013, enacted by means of the Finance Act 2013.) [Vires of the Income support levy Act 2013 were challenged before the SHC. SHC while allowing the writ, declared the said act as ultra vires of the constitution . SC granted leave to appeal against said order of SHC to consider the correctness of the views expressed by the learned two member bench of SC refusing leave to appeal order dated 28.12.2021(PLD 2022 SC 420).] (Larger) (C.O.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Karachi v. Mr.Khalil A Sattar & others</p>	<p>Abida Parveen Channar, AOR (Enrl#282)(Kch) Shah Nawaz, ASC (Enrl#5126) ----- Addl.Attorney General for Pakistan Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, AOR (Enrl#239)(Rwp) Shaista Altaf, ASC (Enrl#3718)(Rwp) R - Notice</p>
and (389)	<p>C.A.2102/2022 (Tax / Tax) ((Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [Vires of the Income support levy Act 2013 were challenged before the LHC. LHC while allowing the writ, declared the said act as ultra vires of the constitution . SC granted leave to appeal IN 692 cases against the order of LHC on the basis of the leave-granting order passed by SC on 21.06.2022 (now CA 658/2022) to consider the correctness of the views expressed by the learned two member bench of SC refusing leave to appeal order dated 28.12.2021(PLD 2022 SC 420).] (D.B.) (C.O.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sufi Muhammad Farrukh Amin, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Addl.Attorney General for Pakistan R - Notice (in all cases)</p>
and (390)	<p>C.A.2103/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through its Chairman, Islamabad, etc v. Samina Kamran, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Faisal Zaffar, ASC (Enrl#4736)(Lhr)</p>

and (391)	C.A.2104/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Imran Ijaz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Abdul Qadir Khan, AOR (Enrl#258)(Kch) Abid Hussain Shaban, ASC (Enrl#5550)(Kch)
and (392)	C.A.2105/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Aamir Fayaz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (393)	C.A.2106/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner INland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khawaja Muhammad Nadeem, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (394)	C.A.2107/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ghulam Moh-ud-Din, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (395)	C.A.2108/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Atif Munir, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (396)	C.A.2109/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore v. Shahid Salim Qureshi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (397)	C.A.2110/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahzada Daniyal, etc	Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enrl#5012)(Lhr) ----- Addl.Attorney General for Pakistan
and (398)	C.A.2111/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] [P.H] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sheikh Muhammad Asghar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (399)	C.A.2112/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Kanwar Iqbal Talib, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (400)	C.A.2113/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Mrs. Rubina Chaudhry, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (401)	<p>C.A.2114/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mian Qasim Zia, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (402)	<p>C.A.2115/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Sadaf Aamir Arshad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (403)	<p>C.A.2116/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mrs. Humaira Farooq Sohail, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (404)	<p>C.A.2117/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Hafiz Farooq Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (405)	<p>C.A.2118/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore v. Shahraiz Javaid Butt, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (406)	<p>C.A.2119/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mr. Umer Farooq Kabir Sheikh, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr)</p>
and (407)	<p>C.A.2120/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mst. Kashifa Rhat, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Tasneem Amin, AOR (Enrl#227)(Lhr) Rana Muhammad Afzal, ASC (Enrl#4272)(Lhr)</p>
and (408)	<p>C.A.2121/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Jehangir Shahid, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (409)	<p>C.A.2122/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khalid Jamil, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (410)	<p>C.A.2123/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Masroor Ahmad Khan, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (411)	<p>C.A.2124/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Saeed Asad Mukhtar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (412)	<p>C.A.2125/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Syed Savail Meekal Hussain, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shehryar Kasuri, ASC (Enrl#4789)(Lhr)</p>
and (413)	<p>C.A.2126/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ali Jehangir, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (414)	<p>C.A.2127/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mian Pervaiz Aslam, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Tasneem Amin, AOR (Enrl#227)(Lhr) Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (415)	<p>C.A.2128/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Mrs. Farhat Saleem, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (416)	<p>C.A.2129/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Waseem Mukhtar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Khurram Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#6110)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr)</p>
and (417)	<p>C.A.2130/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Mrs. Kanwal Saeed, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (418)	<p>C.A.2131/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Adeel Arif, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (419)	<p>C.A.2132/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Taimur Chaudhary, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (420)	<p>C.A.2133/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Kaukab Yazdani, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Salman Ahmad, ASC (Enrl#6074)(Lhr)</p>

and (421)	<p>C.A.2134/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Amber Malik, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (422)	<p>C.A.2135/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Nighat Yawar Ali, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (423)	<p>C.A.2136/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Syed Jamil Abbas, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (424)	<p>C.A.2137/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Nargis Jillani, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (425)	<p>C.A.2138/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Waqar Nasir, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (426)	C.A.2139/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Abdul Sami, etc	Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (427)	C.A.2140/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (S.J.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Yousaf Abbas Sharif, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (428)	C.A.2141/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Zubair Farooq, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (429)	C.A.2142/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ahmad Tariq Rahim, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (430)	C.A.2143/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Qamar Ahmad Fazal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (431)	C.A.2144/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Mian Amir Mahmood, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (432)	C.A.2145/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Humayun Maqbool, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (433)	C.A.2146/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Masroor Ahmad Khan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (434)	C.A.2147/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Muhammad Ismail Khurram, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (435)	C.A.2148/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue through its Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Sh. Hassan Zahid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (436)	<p>C.A.2149/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mian Amer Mehmood, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Jawaid Masood Tahir Bhatti, AOR (Enrl#276)(Ibd) Syed Najaf Hussain Shah, ASC (Enrl#5028)(Lhr)</p>
and (437)	<p>C.A.2150/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ch. Muhammad Zaka Ashraf, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (438)	<p>C.A.2151/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sadia Anwar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Sh. Muhammad Akram, ASC (Enrl#4541)(Lhr)</p>
and (439)	<p>C.A.2152/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sardar Amir Hussain, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (440)	<p>C.A.2153/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Imran Aslam, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Tasneem Amin, AOR (Enrl#227)(Lhr) Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (441)	C.A.2154/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Muhammad Anwar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (442)	C.A.2155/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Waheed Ch., etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (443)	C.A.2156/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Gujranwala, etc v. Muhammad Anwar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (444)	C.A.2157/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Deputy Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khawaja Muhammad Kaleem, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (445)	C.A.2158/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mst. Saima Hassan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Tasneem Amin, AOR (Enrl#227)(Lhr) Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (446)	<p>C.A.2159/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Idrees Ch. etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (447)	<p>C.A.2160/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Amir Zafar Sheikh, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (448)	<p>C.A.2161/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Rizwan Khalid Butt, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (449)	<p>C.A.2162/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Asim Jalil, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr)</p>
and (450)	<p>C.A.2163/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Ismail, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Ghulam Hussain, AOR (Enrl#228)(Lhr) Syed Naveed Amjad Andrabi, ASC (Enrl#2614)(Lhr)</p>

and (451)	<p>C.A.2164/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Zahara Ali Elahi, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (452)	<p>C.A.2165/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Hafiz Mian Muhammad Usama Farhan, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (453)	<p>C.A.2166/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Syed Zia Haider Rizvi, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (454)	<p>C.A.2167/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mian Amir Nasim, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (455)	<p>C.A.2168/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Adil Manzoor, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (456)	<p>C.A.2169/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Mr. Muhammad Shakil Afridi, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr) Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Khurram Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#6110)(Lhr)</p>
and (457)	<p>C.A.2170/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Dr. Akhtar Shab Khaiz, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (458)	<p>C.A.2171/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahbaz Alam Monnoo, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Tasneem Amin, AOR (Enrl#227)(Lhr) Rana Muhammad Afzal, ASC (Enrl#4272)(Lhr)</p>
and (459)	<p>C.A.2172/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Deputy Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Abdul Basit, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (460)	<p>C.A.2173/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, , Lahore, etc v. Farooq Amin Sufi, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Ghulam Hussain, AOR (Enrl#228)(Lhr) Javed Iqbal Qazi, ASC (Enrl#5237)(Lhr)</p>

and (461)	<p>C.A.2174/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Zeenat-ul-Nisa, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr)</p>
and (462)	<p>C.A.2175/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Gujranwala, etc v. Sumera Khushnood, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (463)	<p>C.A.2176/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mian Muhammad Jamil, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (464)	<p>C.A.2177/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Ilyas, etc</p>	<p>Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (465)	<p>C.A.2178/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ghazala Munir Chaudhary, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (466)	<p>C.A.2179/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Munir Ch., etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enrl#6071)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (467)	<p>C.A.2180/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Muhammad Kamran Sabir, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (468)	<p>C.A.2181/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Syed Muhammad Rauf Anjum Bukhari, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (469)	<p>C.A.2182/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahida Khurshid, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enrl#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Salman Ahmad, ASC (Enrl#6074)(Lhr)</p>
and (470)	<p>C.A.2183/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Nasrullah Baig, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enrl#5012)(Lhr) ----- Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Muhammad Sirajul Islam Khan, ASC (Enrl#3304)(Lhr) Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (471)	C.A.2184/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Furqan Elahi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (472)	C.A.2185/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Gujranwala, etc v. Faiza Faisal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (473)	C.A.2186/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahida Imtiaz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (474)	C.A.2187/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Zeeshan Monnoo, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (475)	C.A.2188/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Gujranwala, etc v. Mian Amjad Mehmood, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (476)	<p>C.A.2189/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sofia Khawar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (477)	<p>C.A.2190/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Abbas Ali Baig, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (478)	<p>C.A.2191/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Tariq Ullah Sufi, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr) Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (479)	<p>C.A.2192/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Haroon Shafiq Ch., etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (480)	<p>C.A.2193/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Manzoor Hussain Nasir, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (481)	C.A.2194/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Attiya Abid Gillani, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (482)	C.A.2195/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Samia Raazee, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (483)	C.A.2196/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner INland Revenue, Gujranwala, etc v. Khalid Yaqub, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (484)	C.A.2197/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Haq Nawaz Malik, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (485)	C.A.2198/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Gujranwala, etc v. Faisal MAqsood, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (486)	C.A.2199/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Tariq Hafeez Sethi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (487)	C.A.2200/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Zohaib Zahid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (488)	C.A.2201/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Assistant Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Mustafa Kamal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (489)	C.A.2202/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Saeed Iqbal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (490)	C.A.2203/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Samira Mohsin Gillani, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (491)	<p>C.A.2204/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Junaid Zahid, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (492)	<p>C.A.2205/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Akhlaq Mazhar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (493)	<p>C.A.2206/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Kashif Elahi Khawaja, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (494)	<p>C.A.2207/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Nazish Imran, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Khurram Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#6110)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr)</p>
and (495)	<p>C.A.2208/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Muhammad Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (496)	<p>C.A.2209/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Nasim Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (497)	<p>C.A.2210/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Salman Mahmood Chaudhary., etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (498)	<p>C.A.2211/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Mahnaz Hamid, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (499)	<p>C.A.2212/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Mian Muhammad Arshad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (500)	<p>C.A.2213/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Wisal Ahmad Monnoo, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (501)	C.A.2214/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Anwar Sheikh, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (502)	C.A.2215/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Dr. Muhammad Khalid Masood, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (503)	C.A.2216/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Bahawalpur, etc v. Muhammad Zahid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enrl#6071)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (504)	C.A.2217/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Saira Farooq, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (505)	C.A.2218/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Akram Elahi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (506)	C.A.2219/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Zaki Aijaz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (507)	C.A.2220/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Saqib Manzoor, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (508)	C.A.2221/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Abdul Aziz Abbas Sharif, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (509)	C.A.2222/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Kiran Arshad Chaudhary, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (510)	C.A.2223/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Riaz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (511)	<p>C.A.2224/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Bia Babr Agha, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (512)	<p>C.A.2225/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ijaz Ahmad Nayyaer, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (513)	<p>C.A.2226/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Asif Liaquat, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Sh. Muhammad Akram, ASC (Enrl#4541)(Lhr)</p>
and (514)	<p>C.A.2227/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mst. Sadaf Pervez, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (515)	<p>C.A.2228/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Jawad Khalid, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (516)	C.A.2229/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Attique Ahmed Khan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (517)	C.A.2230/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Adeela Ali, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (518)	C.A.2231/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Azhar Ali, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, AOR (Enrl#239)(Rwp) Saad Rasool, ASC (Enrl#6069)(Ibd)
and (519)	C.A.2232/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sumbla Tahir, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (520)	C.A.2233/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Zahra M Elahi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (521)	C.A.2234/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ayesha Masroor, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (522)	C.A.2235/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Ghulam Farid, ect	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (523)	C.A.2236/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Farhaj Sarwar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (524)	C.A.2237/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Waleed Saigal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (525)	C.A.2238/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Amir Sultan Cheema, etc	Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enrl#6071)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (526)	<p>C.A.2239/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Seema Azhar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, AOR (Enrl#239)(Rwp) Saad Rasool, ASC (Enrl#6069)(Ibd)</p>
and (527)	<p>C.A.2240/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khurram Tanveer, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (528)	<p>C.A.2241/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mst. Naghmana Idrees, ect</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (529)	<p>C.A.2242/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Hafiz Muhammad Nauman, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (530)	<p>C.A.2243/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Naz Mansha</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Imtiaz Rashid Siddiqui, ASC (Enrl#2487)(Lhr) Shehryar Kasuri, ASC (Enrl#4789)(Lhr)</p>

and (531)	C.A.2244/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mian Zahoor Ahmed, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (532)	C.A.2245/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Haroon Khawaja, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (533)	C.A.2246/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Assistant Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Muhammad Abdullah, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (534)	C.A.2247/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Saleem Akhtar Mian, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (535)	C.A.2248/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore v. Mr. I.R. Siddique, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Imtiaz Rashid Siddiqui, ASC (Enrl#2487)(Lhr)

and (536)	C.A.2249/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Yasmeen Masood, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (537)	C.A.2250/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	The Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mr. Raja Akbar Hassan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (538)	C.A.2251/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Arif Ijaz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr)
and (539)	C.A.2252/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Rana Muhammad Ishaq Khan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (540)	C.A.2253/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Samina Jabeen, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (541)	C.A.2254/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Kaleem Butt, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (542)	C.A.2255/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shamim Begum, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (543)	C.A.2256/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mian Usman Arshad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (544)	C.A.2257/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Ayub Sheikh, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (545)	C.A.2258/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Asif Siddique Shibli, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (546)	C.A.2259/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. S. Anjum Zafar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (547)	C.A.2260/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahid Usman, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (548)	C.A.2261/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mr. Amjad Aziz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (549)	C.A.2262/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Omer Mohy ud Din Malik, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (550)	C.A.2263/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Afshan Muggo, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (551)	C.A.2264/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Wasim Amer, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (552)	C.A.2265/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Zeba Saeed, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (553)	C.A.2266/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Chaudhary Rasikh Elahi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (554)	C.A.2267/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue through its Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Rashid Hameed Mehr, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (555)	C.A.2268/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue through its Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Noman Abid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Humayoun Rashid, ASC (Enrl#4511)(Lhr)

and (556)	C.A.2269/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sheikh Mukhtar Ahmed, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Khurram Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#6110)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr)
and (557)	C.A.2270/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Azhar Saleem, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (558)	C.A.2271/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Chaudhary Masood Iqbal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (559)	C.A.2272/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue through its Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Ahsan Ghazanffar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (560)	C.A.2273/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Syed Zibber Mohiuddin, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (561)	C.A.2274/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sheraz Jehangir Mannoo, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Tasneem Amin, AOR (Enrl#227)(Lhr) Rana Muhammad Afzal, ASC (Enrl#4272)(Lhr)
and (562)	C.A.2275/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahbaz Alam Monnoo, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Tasneem Amin, AOR (Enrl#227)(Lhr) Rana Muhammad Afzal, ASC (Enrl#4272)(Lhr)
and (563)	C.A.2276/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Naushaba Zaka Ashraf, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (564)	C.A.2277/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahzad Fakhar Ali, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (565)	C.A.2278/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Binyamin, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr) Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (566)	C.A.2279/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Maqsood Ahmad Butt, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (567)	C.A.2280/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Syed Rizwan Altaf, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (568)	C.A.2281/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mr. Ahsan Saleem, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (569)	C.A.2282/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Aysha Irfan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (570)	C.A.2283/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Adnan Shoaib Ghias, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (571)	C.A.2284/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy) (D.B.)	Assistant Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khizar Ayub Izhar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (572)	C.A.2285/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Maqsood Ahmad Butt, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (573)	C.A.2286/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Muhammad Asghar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (574)	C.A.2287/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Hamid Ali Malik, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (575)	C.A.2288/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Kh. Saeed Ullah, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (576)	C.A.2289/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue through its Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Muhammad Zahid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (577)	<p>C.A.2290/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Tanvir Hayat Mir, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (578)	<p>C.A.2291/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Zahid Hassan, etc</p>	<p>Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (579)	<p>C.A.2292/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Zunaira Atif, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enrl#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (580)	<p>C.A.2293/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mst. Kausar Mushtaq, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enrl#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (581)	<p>C.A.2294/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mst. Shahida Munir, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enrl#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (582)	C.A.2295/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Umer Saeed KHan, etc	Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enrl#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (583)	C.A.2296/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ali Aizaz Sheikh, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (584)	C.A.2297/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Mehreen Humayum, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (585)	C.A.2298/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Asghar Syed, etc	Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enrl#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (586)	C.A.2299/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mansoor Irfani, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (587)	C.A.2300/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. MUhammad Farrukh Nasim, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (588)	C.A.2301/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sajid Ali Malik, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (589)	C.A.2302/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khalid Nawaz Chattha, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (590)	C.A.2303/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Iftikhar Ahmad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (591)	C.A.2304/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mian Muhammad Jahangir	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (592)	C.A.2305/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Nargis Jahangir	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (593)	<p>C.A.2306/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Saleem, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (594)	<p>C.A.2307/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Kiran Shahzad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (595)	<p>C.A.2308/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Gujranwala, etc v. Sohail Yousaf, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Humayoun Rashid, ASC (Enrl#4511)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (596)	<p>C.A.2309/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Gohar Ayub Izhar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (597)	<p>C.A.2310/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khalid Bashir, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enrl#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (598)	<p>C.A.2311/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Kaneez Begum, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr)</p>
and (599)	<p>C.A.2312/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Chaudhary Imran Ahmed, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (600)	<p>C.A.2313/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Saeed Iqbal Khan, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (601)	<p>C.A.2314/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Jawad Hamid Raja, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (602)	<p>C.A.2315/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Sargodha, etc v. Shakeel Jehangir, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enrl#6071)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (603)	C.A.2316/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shoaib Zahid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (604)	C.A.2317/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shaheen Nadeem, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (605)	C.A.2318/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Rukhsana Ali, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (606)	C.A.2319/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Maqsood Ahmad Butt	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (607)	C.A.2320/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue through its Chairman, Islamabad, etc v. Shahid Siraj, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (608)	C.A.2321/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khalid Shamshir Ali, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (609)	C.A.2322/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Asfand Yar Shah, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Muhammad Aslam, ASC (Enrl#6317)(Lhr)
and (610)	C.A.2323/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ch. Muhammad Munir, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Ghulam Hussain, AOR (Enrl#228)(Lhr) Syed Naveed Amjad Andrabi, ASC (Enrl#2614)(Lhr)
and (611)	C.A.2324/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ubeda Ashraf, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (612)	C.A.2325/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Akhtar Ali, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (613)	C.A.2326/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Shahzada Khurram Khan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Muhammad Ozair Chughtai, AOR (Enrl#193) Muhammad Shahid Baig, ASC (Enrl#4535)(Lhr) Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (614)	C.A.2327/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Umar Virk, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (615)	C.A.2328/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ayesha Irfan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (616)	C.A.2329/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Asyia Tanvir, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (617)	C.A.2330/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sh. Muhammad Asghar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (618)	C.A.2331/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Jameel Ahmad Khokhar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (619)	C.A.2332/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Khan Muhammad Ashraf, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (620)	C.A.2333/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Mahboob Iqbal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (621)	C.A.2334/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Fahad Shahid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (622)	C.A.2335/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Basharat Ahmad Naeem, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (623)	C.A.2336/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Zafar Hussain Qureshi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (624)	C.A.2337/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Mohtashim Aftab, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (625)	C.A.2338/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Lozina Shahzad Ahmad, etc	Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (626)	C.A.2339/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Faisal Munir, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Ghulam Hussain, AOR (Enrl#228)(Lhr) Syed Naveed Amjad Andrabi, ASC (Enrl#2614)(Lhr)
and (627)	C.A.2340/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Abdul Majeed, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (628)	C.A.2341/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Kh. Junaid Ashraf, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (629)	C.A.2342/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Farrukh Ifzal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (630)	C.A.2343/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Tayyiba Waseem, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (631)	C.A.2344/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Asad Sultan Chaudhry, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (632)	C.A.2345/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Amjad Aziz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (633)	C.A.2346/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Mian Arif Saeed, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraaz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (634)	C.A.2347/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Mian Zahir Said, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (635)	C.A.2348/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Riaz Ahmad Chohan, etc	Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enrl#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (636)	<p>C.A.2349/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Muhammad Asghar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (637)	<p>C.A.2350/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner INland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Tariq Saeed Saighal, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (638)	<p>C.A.2351/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Usman Jabbar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (639)	<p>C.A.2352/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Syed Muhammad Ahmad Anjum Bukhari, Lahore, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enrl#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (640)	<p>C.A.2353/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Salman Hayat Noon, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (641)	C.A.2354/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Jawad Zahid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (642)	C.A.2355/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Farhat Saleem, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (643)	C.A.2356/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore v. Zahid Umar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (644)	C.A.2357/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Omer Saleem, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (645)	C.A.2358/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sufi Muhammad Amin, etc	Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enrl#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (646)	C.A.2359/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sheharyar Kasuri, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (647)	C.A.2360/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Jawad Mirza, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Saood Nasrullah Cheema, ASC (Enrl#3404)(Lhr)

and (648)	C.A.2361/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Nadeem Aslam Butt, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (649)	C.A.2362/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Bilal Afzal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (650)	C.A.2363/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Uzma Nadeem, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (651)	C.A.2364/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Zahid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (652)	C.A.2365/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Syed Kashif-ul-Hassan Shah, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (653)	C.A.2366/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Syed Muhammad Rauf Anjum Bukhari, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (654)	C.A.2367/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Hassan Askari Rizvi, etc	Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enrl#6071)(Lhr)
and (655)	C.A.2368/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Imran Afzal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr)
and (656)	C.A.2369/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Deputy Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahbaz Ali Malik, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (657)	C.A.2370/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ali Shahid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (658)	C.A.2371/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Qazi Humayun Fareed, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (659)	C.A.2372/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Ayub, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (660)	C.A.2373/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Hussain Barkat Ali, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (661)	C.A.2374/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Zahid Ali Malik, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (662)	C.A.2375/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Iqbal Z. Ahmed, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, AOR (Enrl#239)(Rwp) Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, ASC (Enrl#2412)(Rwp)
and (663)	C.A.2376/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Gujranwala, etc v. Sheikh Mahmood Iqbal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (664)	C.A.2377/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Gujranwala, etc v. Samina Kamran, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Faisal Zaffar, ASC (Enrl#4736)(Lhr)
and (665)	C.A.2378/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Aamer Mehmood, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Ch. Muhammad Mohsin Virk, ASC (Enrl#4993)(Lhr) Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (666)	C.A.2379/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Umair Idrees, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Muhammad Yaseen Farrukh, AOR (Enrl#266)
and (667)	C.A.2380/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Hamza Shehbaz Sharif, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (668)	C.A.2381/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Hamza Daud, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (669)	<p>C.A.2382/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khawaja Muhammad Arif, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (670)	<p>C.A.2383/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Bilal Bashir, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (671)	<p>C.A.2384/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Muqet Usman, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (672)	<p>C.A.2385/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khalida Naureen, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (673)	<p>C.A.2386/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Abid Ali Malik, tc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (674)	C.A.2387/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Salma Abbas Sharif, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (675)	C.A.2388/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mrs. Ammil Raza, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (676)	C.A.2389/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Amjad Latif Sheikh, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shehryar Kasuri, ASC (Enrl#4789)(Lhr)
and (677)	C.A.2390/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khushi Muhammad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (678)	C.A.2391/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khalid Hadayat Ullah Sufi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (679)	<p>C.A.2392/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Syed Moazzam Raheel, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (680)	<p>C.A.2393/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ch Zahid Habib, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (681)	<p>C.A.2394/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Anwaar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (682)	<p>C.A.2395/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Syed Asghar Husnain Kazmi, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enrl#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (683)	<p>C.A.2396/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, through Member (Legal), FBR House, Islamabad, etc v. Rehana Pervaiz Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (684)	<p>C.A.2397/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Zahid Qadeer Alma, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (685)	<p>C.A.2398/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through its Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Muneeb Tariq, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (686)	<p>C.A.2399/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Shagufta Begum, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (687)	<p>C.A.2400/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Uzma Nadeem, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (688)	<p>C.A.2401/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Tahira Naheed, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (689)	C.A.2402/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Shazia Hafeez, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (690)	C.A.2403/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Nadeem Ahmad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (691)	C.A.2404/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Muneer Qureshi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (692)	C.A.2405/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Riaz Ali, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (693)	C.A.2406/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Shahzad Shahnawaz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (694)	C.A.2407/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Syed Muzaffar Ali Bukhari, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (695)	C.A.2408/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Syed Fakhar Imam, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraaz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (696)	C.A.2409/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Noman Ali Sheikh, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (697)	C.A.2410/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Iftikhar Ahmad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfrayz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (698)	C.A.2411/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Amjad Asghar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Salman Ahmad, ASC (Enrl#6074)(Lhr)
and (699)	C.A.2412/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Salman Sarfrayz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfrayz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (700)	C.A.2413/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ahmad Jaudet Bilal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (701)	C.A.2414/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Riaz Hussain Qureshi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (702)	C.A.2415/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Shah Nawaz-ul-Hassan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (703)	C.A.2416/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Usman Khalid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (704)	C.A.2417/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Kaiser Ahmad Monnoo, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Tasneem Amin, AOR (Enrl#227)(Lhr) Rana Muhammad Afzal, ASC (Enrl#4272)(Lhr)
and (705)	C.A.2418/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ghulam Mustafa Monnoo, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shehryar Kasuri, ASC (Enrl#4789)(Lhr)

and (706)	C.A.2419/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ahmad Zubair Butt, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Hashim Aslam Butt, ASC (Enrl#6338)(Lhr)
and (707)	C.A.2420/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sitwat Asad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (708)	C.A.2421/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ashraf Bibi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (709)	C.A.2422/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Wahid Shahbaz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Salman Ahmad, ASC (Enrl#6074)(Lhr)
and (710)	C.A.2423/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ahmad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (711)	C.A.2424/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Amina Farooq Khan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (712)	C.A.2425/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Qamar-uz-Zaman, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (713)	C.A.2426/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Junaid Saleem, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (714)	<p>C.A.2427/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Naeem, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Chaudhry Akhtar Ali (decd.) (Died), AOR (Enrl#94)(Rwp) Khalid Mehmood Khan, ASC (Enrl#2374)(Lhr)</p>
and (715)	<p>C.A.2428/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mazhar Hussain Siddiqui, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (716)	<p>C.A.2429/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Maryam Ahsan Maqbool, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shehryar Kasuri, ASC (Enrl#4789)(Lhr)</p>
and (717)	<p>C.A.2430/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sidra Fatima, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Muhammad Aslam, ASC (Enrl#6317)(Lhr) Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr)</p>
and (718)	<p>C.A.2431/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mian Mughis A. Sheikh, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (719)	C.A.2432/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Rubina Bashir, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (720)	C.A.2433/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Mahboob Nasir Izhar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (721)	C.A.2434/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Naeela Iqbal Rizvi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (722)	C.A.2435/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Omar Ayub Izhar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (723)	C.A.2436/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mussarat Farhat, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Tasneem Amin, AOR (Enrl#227)(Lhr) Rana Muhammad Afzal, ASC (Enrl#4272)(Lhr)
and (724)	C.A.2437/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Shazia Iqtidar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (725)	C.A.2438/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Arif Latif, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (726)	C.A.2439/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shoaib Shafi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Khurram Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#6110)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr) Saad Rasool, ASC (Enrl#6069)(Ibd)

and (727)	C.A.2440/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Anwar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (728)	C.A.2441/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	The Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Viqar Ahmad Khan through Legal Heir Javed Iqbal Khan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Tasneem Amin, AOR (Enrl#227)(Lhr) Rana Muhammad Afzal, ASC (Enrl#4272)(Lhr)
and (729)	C.A.2442/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Samina Sarfraz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (730)	C.A.2443/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Siddiq ur Rehman Rana, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (731)	C.A.2444/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Malik Muhammad Ashraf, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Sh. Muhammad Akram, ASC (Enrl#4541)(Lhr)
and (732)	C.A.2445/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Osman Hameed Chaudhary, etc	Manzar Abbas Khokhar, AOR (Enrl#301)(Lhr) Humera Bashir, ASC (Enrl#5519)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (733)	C.A.2446/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Hassan Iqbal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (734)	C.A.2447/2022 (Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Zulaikha Iqbal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (735)	C.A.2448/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Nahid Dastgir, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (736)	C.A.2449/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahnaz Umar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (737)	C.A.2450/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Shahid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (738)	C.A.2451/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. S.M. Saleem Ahsan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (739)	C.A.2452/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Rizwan Ahmad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (740)	C.A.2453/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Nasreen Aftab, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (741)	C.A.2454/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Okara, etc v. Muhammad Shafiq, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (742)	C.A.2455/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Seemi Arif Latif, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (743)	C.A.2456/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Jawwad Ahmad Chaudhary, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (744)	C.A.2457/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Neelam Avais, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (745)	C.A.2458/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Salman Tariq, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraiz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (746)	C.A.2459/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Arif Ejaz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr) Khurram Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#6110)(Lhr)
and (747)	C.A.2460/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ahmed Saeed, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (748)	C.A.2461/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mian Asif Mahmood, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (749)	C.A.2462/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ch. Zahid Habib, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (750)	C.A.2463/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Zahid Hafeez, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (751)	C.A.2464/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Rafique Butt, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraaz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (752)	C.A.2465/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mahwish Farhan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (753)	C.A.2466/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahzeb Javed Rathore, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraaz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (754)	C.A.2467/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Rashid Rehman, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (755)	C.A.2468/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Asghar Ali Malik, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (756)	C.A.2469/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Tallat Sultana Paracha, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (757)	C.A.2470/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Pervaiz Ahmed Khan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (758)	C.A.2471/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ghulam Dastgir Luk, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (759)	C.A.2472/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Dr. Osman Quddus, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (760)	C.A.2473/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ihtisham-ul-Haq Qureshi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (761)	C.A.2474/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Tariq Hameed, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (762)	C.A.2475/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Usman Gul, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (763)	C.A.2476/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Deputy Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Javaid Asghar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (764)	C.A.2477/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Qambar Hamid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (765)	C.A.2478/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Abbas Ali, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (766)	C.A.2479/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, Islamabad, etc v. Jahangir Iqbal Cheema, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Humayoun Rashid, ASC (Enrl#4511)(Lhr)

and (767)	C.A.2480/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Adeel Akbar Sheikh, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (768)	C.A.2481/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Tariq Zaman, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (769)	C.A.2482/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Natalya Indrikova, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (770)	C.A.2483/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Kh. Tariq Lateef, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (771)	C.A.2484/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mansoor Ahmad Khan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr)
and (772)	C.A.2485/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Akbar Sheikh, etc	Manzar Abbas Khokhar, AOR (Enrl#301)(Lhr) Humera Bashir, ASC (Enrl#5519)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (773)	C.A.2486/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sh. Muhammad Iqbal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (774)	C.A.2487/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Syed Pervaiz Haider, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, AOR (Enrl#239)(Rwp) Sherjeel Adnan Sheikh, ASC (Enrl#4330)(Lhr)
and (775)	C.A.2488/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Khubaib Ahmad Khan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (776)	C.A.2489/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Rashid Haleem, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (777)	C.A.2490/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad khalid Hassan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (778)	C.A.2491/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Itezaz Hussain Shah, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (779)	C.A.2492/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Mohsin Ali, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (780)	C.A.2493/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sajid Rafique, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (781)	C.A.2494/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sh. Ahmad Dawood, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (782)	C.A.2495/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Aiza Shahid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (783)	C.A.2496/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sh. Sadaqat Ali, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (784)	C.A.2497/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Javed Adil, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (785)	C.A.2498/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sarfranz Ali Sheikh, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (786)	C.A.2499/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Nadeem Aslam Butt, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (787)	C.A.2500/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Amir Tufail, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (788)	C.A.2501/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Dr. Tajamal Ahmad Chaudhary, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (789)	C.A.2502/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Shamim Akhtar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (790)	C.A.2503/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Kh. Javed Ashraf, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (791)	C.A.2504/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Adil Abdullah Khan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (792)	C.A.2505/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Bilal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (793)	C.A.2506/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sadaf Ateeq, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (794)	C.A.2507/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sh. Muhammad Amir, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (795)	C.A.2508/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Zahid Rafiq, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (796)	C.A.2509/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Syed Sohail Bashir, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (797)	C.A.2510/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Imran Hafiz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Sh. Muhammad Akram, ASC (Enrl#4541)(Lhr)

and (798)	C.A.2511/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ayesha Ahmad Mansoor, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfrayz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (799)	C.A.2512/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Bilal Haleem, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (800)	C.A.2513/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Saleem Butt, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (801)	C.A.2514/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Sheikh Hussain Haider, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfrayz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (802)	C.A.2515/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Imran Sarwar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (803)	C.A.2516/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Dr. Muhammad Qasim Khokhar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (804)	C.A.2517/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Rana Zahid Tausif, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (805)	C.A.2518/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Iqbal Salah-ud-Din Mian, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr)
and (806)	C.A.2519/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sajjad Haider Rizvi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (807)	C.A.2520/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Rizwana Shahid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (808)	C.A.2521/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Khawer Saeed, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Salman Ahmad, ASC (Enrl#6074)(Lhr)
and (809)	C.A.2522/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Munawar Siddique, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (810)	C.A.2523/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Shahid Riaz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (811)	C.A.2524/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Asma Adeel, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (812)	C.A.2525/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Sabeena Hasnain, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (813)	C.A.2526/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Shabana Anjum, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (814)	C.A.2527/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Marghoob Shakir Izhar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (815)	C.A.2528/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Khurram Tariq, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (816)	C.A.2529/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Dr. Muhammad Shuja Tahir, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (817)	C.A.2530/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ahmad Nisar Zaman, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (818)	C.A.2531/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	The Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Salman Siddiqui, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (819)	C.A.2532/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Tariq Ibrahim Sheikh, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (820)	C.A.2533/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	The Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Saad Thaniana, etc	Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enrl#6071)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (821)	C.A.2534/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Javed Iqbal Siddique, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (822)	C.A.2535/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Abdul Majeed, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (823)	C.A.2536/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sheikh Hassan Munawar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (824)	C.A.2537/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Adnan Aslam, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (825)	C.A.2538/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Fauzia Imran, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (826)	C.A.2539/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Rauf Ahmad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (827)	C.A.2540/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Mian Waheed Asghar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (828)	C.A.2541/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Kashif Anjum, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (829)	C.A.2542/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Kh. Asim Bokhari, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Muhammad Yaseen Farrukh, AOR (Enrl#266)
and (830)	C.A.2543/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Surraya Khanam Gilani, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (831)	C.A.2544/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Yasrab Tanveer Gondal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (832)	C.A.2545/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Mian Shafique Ahmad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (833)	C.A.2546/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Tariq Siddique, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (834)	C.A.2547/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sheikh Azhar Iqbal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (835)	C.A.2548/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Shaista Pervaiz Malik, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (836)	C.A.2549/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Jalil Qari, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (837)	C.A.2550/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sajid Siddique, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (838)	C.A.2551/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Samina Asif, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (839)	C.A.2552/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Farah Deebe Aslam, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (840)	C.A.2553/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Shoukat Ali Qadri, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (841)	C.A.2554/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Imran Rafi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (842)	C.A.2555/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sheikh Muhammad Munawar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (843)	C.A.2556/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Erum Omer, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (844)	C.A.2557/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Nawabzada Shafqat Ali Khan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (845)	C.A.2558/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ahmad Husnain, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (846)	C.A.2559/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Asif, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (847)	C.A.2560/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shabbir Malik, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (848)	C.A.2561/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ahmad Shakeel, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Feisel Rafique Malik, AOR (Enrl#281)(Ibd) Khalil Ahmed, ASC (Enrl#1921)(Lhr) Waseem Majid Malik, ASC (Enrl#3815)(Lhr)
and (849)	C.A.2562/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Kifayat Ullah Sabir, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (850)	C.A.2563/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Rafay Ahmad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (851)	C.A.2564/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahnaz Mumtaz, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (852)	C.A.2565/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Kh. Zahid Rashid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (853)	C.A.2566/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Asif Naseer Khara, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (854)	C.A.2567/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) (D.B.)	Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khurram Zafar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (855)	C.A.2568/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, through its Chairman, Islamabad, etc v. Shehla Anwar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (856)	<p>C.A.2569/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, through Deputy Commissioner Inland Revenue, Islamabad, etc v. Yasir Naseem Ch, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (857)	<p>C.A.2570/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, through its Chairman, Islamabad, etc v. Sarfraz Mahmood, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr)</p>
and (858)	<p>C.A.2571/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, through its Chairman, Islamabad, etc v. Shoaib Ghani, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr)</p>
and (859)	<p>C.A.2572/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, through its Chairman, Islamabad, etc v. Rana Muhammad Hayat Khan, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (860)	<p>C.A.2573/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Jehangir, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (861)	<p>C.A.2574/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Sheikh Mazhar Munawar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (862)	<p>C.A.2575/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Saeed Ahmad Chaudhary, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (863)	<p>C.A.2576/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ali Maqsood Butt, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (864)	<p>C.A.2577/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Multan, etc v. Tariq Hafeez Saithi, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enrl#6071)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (865)	<p>C.A.2578/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Jalil Qari, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (866)	C.A.2579/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Kashif Siddique, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (867)	C.A.2580/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Zarina Said, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (868)	C.A.2581/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Gujranwala, etc v. Kishwar Sultana, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (869)	C.A.2582/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Ahmad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (870)	C.A.2583/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Zaheer ud Din Babar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (871)	<p>C.A.2584/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue thr. its Chairman, etc v. Mian Zahid Said, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (872)	<p>C.A.2585/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Pervaiz Malik, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (873)	<p>C.A.2586/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Tahir Said, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (874)	<p>C.A.2587/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Nina Akbar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (875)	<p>C.A.2588/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Multan, etc v. Rizwan Riaz, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enr1#6071)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (876)	<p>C.A.2589/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Suleman Zahid Jamil, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (877)	<p>C.A.2590/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Asif Siddique Chaudhary, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (878)	<p>C.A.2591/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Zaheer-ul-Hassan, ect</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (879)	<p>C.A.2592/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Syed Iftikhar-Ul-Hassan Zaidi, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (880)	<p>C.A.2593/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sonia Arshad, etc</p>	<p>Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (881)	C.A.2594/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Khushnood Ahmad, etc	Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enr1#270)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (882)	C.A.2595/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Humayun Qaiser, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (883)	C.A.2596/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sh. Danish Ali, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (884)	C.A.2597/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Jawed Anwar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (885)	C.A.2598/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Asim Aleem, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (886)	<p>C.A.2599/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Muhammad Azhar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (887)	<p>C.A.2600/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Muhammad Saleem, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (888)	<p>C.A.2601/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Azra Parveen, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (889)	<p>C.A.2602/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Abdul HAfeez Sheikh, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (890)	<p>C.A.2603/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Awais Saleem, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (891)	C.A.2604/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Basara Zulfiqar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (892)	C.A.2605/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sh. Zulfiqar Ali, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (893)	C.A.2606/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Waqas Ali, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (894)	C.A.2607/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sheharyar Arshad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (895)	C.A.2608/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Sh. Muhammad Saeed, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (896)	C.A.2609/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Navid Fazil, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Hashim Aslam Butt, ASC (Enrl#6338)(Lhr)
and (897)	C.A.2610/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Yousaf Usman Eahi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (898)	C.A.2611/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Abdullah, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (899)	C.A.2612/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Kamal Yousaf, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (900)	C.A.2613/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Federal Board of Revenue, through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Asad Zahid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (901)	<p>C.A.2614/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Muhammad Amir, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (902)	<p>C.A.2615/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Yousaf Zaheer, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (903)	<p>C.A.2616/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Syeda Sughra Imam, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (904)	<p>C.A.2617/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Salma Jabeen</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (905)	<p>C.A.2618/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Asim Maqsood, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (906)	<p>C.A.2619/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Shahzad Ahmad Sheikh, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (907)	<p>C.A.2620/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Naureen Shahzad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (908)	<p>C.A.2621/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Muhammad Umair Nawaz, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (909)	<p>C.A.2622/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad v. Amjad Ali, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (910)	<p>C.A.2623/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sonia Asim, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (911)	C.A.2624/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue v. Muhammad Baber, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (912)	C.A.2625/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Muhammad Mohsin, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (913)	C.A.2626/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Yasmeen Sheikh, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (914)	C.A.2627/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Muhammad Kashif, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (915)	C.A.2628/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Nazam Shahzad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (916)	<p>C.A.2629/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through its Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Muhammad Saeed, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Muhammad Ozair Chughtai, AOR (Enr1#193) Abdul Waheed Chaddha, ASC (Enr1#5297)(Lhr)</p>
and (917)	<p>C.A.2630/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khurram Javed, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enr1#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enr1#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (918)	<p>C.A.2631/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Hamayun Rashid Butt, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enr1#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enr1#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (919)	<p>C.A.2632/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shokat Mobarik, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enr1#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enr1#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (920)	<p>C.A.2633/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Abdul Hai Mehta, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enr1#270)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enr1#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (921)	C.A.2634/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Azhar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (922)	C.A.2635/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahid Iqbal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (923)	C.A.2636/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Wajid Mehmood Sayid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (924)	C.A.2637/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khawaja Riaz Hussain, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (925)	C.A.2638/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Nuzht Farooq, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (926)	C.A.2639/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Zeeshan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (927)	C.A.2640/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Syed Khawar Mahmood, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (928)	C.A.2641/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Naeem Ul Haq, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (929)	C.A.2642/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahid Karim, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (930)	C.A.2643/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mrs. Shumaila Shafique, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (931)	C.A.2644/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahid Rauf, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr)
and (932)	C.A.2645/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Saeed, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (933)	C.A.2646/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Fiaz Malik Paracha, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (934)	C.A.2647/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Kausar Sultana, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (935)	C.A.2648/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Waleed Saigol, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr) Khurram Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#6110)(Lhr)

and (936)	C.A.2649/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Javed Akhtar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (937)	C.A.2650/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Najeeb, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (938)	C.A.2651/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mst. Surraya, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (939)	C.A.2652/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Javed Iqbal Khan, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (940)	C.A.2653/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Syed Muhammad Zafar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (941)	C.A.2654/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	The Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sheikh Sajjad Tahir, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (942)	C.A.2655/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Saeed Iqbal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (943)	C.A.2656/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sadia Tariq, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (944)	C.A.2657/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mian Muhammad Akbar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (945)	C.A.2658/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Azeem Rafique, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (946)	<p>C.A.2659/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Aslam Hayat Qureshi, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (947)	<p>C.A.2660/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>The Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ch. Abdul Waheed, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (948)	<p>C.A.2661/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Sarwar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (949)	<p>C.A.2662/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Aslam Bashir, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (950)	<p>C.A.2663/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mudassar Mahmood Anwar</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (951)	C.A.2664/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sheikh Muhammad Salman, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (952)	C.A.2665/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Chaudhry Muhammad Abid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (953)	C.A.2666/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Imran Dawood, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (954)	C.A.2667/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ghulam Murtaza Chaudhry, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (955)	C.A.2668/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sheikh Ahsan Latif, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shehryar Kasuri, ASC (Enrl#4789)(Lhr)

and (956)	<p>C.A.2669/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Kashif Jehangir Monnoo, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (957)	<p>C.A.2670/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Ayesha Amir Fazal, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (958)	<p>C.A.2671/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Asjad Saeed, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (959)	<p>C.A.2672/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, , etc v. Javed Niaz, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (960)	<p>C.A.2673/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shehyar Ahmed Bakhsh, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (961)	C.A.2674/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Javaid Bashir Maj (R), etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (962)	C.A.2675/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Silvat Akhtar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (963)	C.A.2676/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Khalid Rafique, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (964)	C.A.2677/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Qamar Aftab, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (965)	C.A.2678/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Jamshed Iqbal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (966)	C.A.2679/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sheikh Muhammad Imran, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (967)	C.A.2680/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ahmed Junaid, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enr1#269)(Lhr) Sh. Muhammad Akram, ASC (Enr1#4541)(Lhr)
and (968)	C.A.2681/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ammil Raza, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Sarfrayz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enr1#269)(Lhr) Imtiaz Rashid Siddiqui, ASC (Enr1#2487)(Lhr) Shehryar Kasuri, ASC (Enr1#4789)(Lhr)
and (969)	C.A.2682/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Syed Tariq Saigol, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enr1#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enr1#2861)(Lhr)
and (970)	C.A.2683/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Azhar, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (971)	C.A.2684/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Ilyas Meraj, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (972)	C.A.2685/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Shahid Ali Sheikh, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (973)	C.A.2686/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Umer Masood Tariq, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (974)	C.A.2687/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Maryam Nawaz Sharif, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (975)	C.A.2688/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Omer Asad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (976)	C.A.2689/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mirza Riaz Ahmad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (977)	C.A.2690/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Saira Ikram Elahi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (978)	C.A.2691/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Arif Mehmood Qureshi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (979)	C.A.2692/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Abid Hussain (deceased) through his L.R., etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (980)	C.A.2693/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sajjad Haider Rizvi, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enr1#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (981)	C.A.2694/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Sialkot, etc v. Fiza Ashraf, etc	Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr) Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enr1#269)(Lhr) Ibrar Ahmed, ASC (Enr1#3570)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (982)	C.A.2695/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Danial Toufique Saigol, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enr1#269)(Lhr) Khurram Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enr1#6110)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enr1#2861)(Lhr)
and (983)	C.A.2696/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Miss Jahan Ara Saigol, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enr1#269)(Lhr) Khurram Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enr1#6110)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enr1#2861)(Lhr)
and (984)	C.A.2697/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Salamt Ali, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (985)	C.A.2698/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Mohsin, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (986)	C.A.2699/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Khalid Javaid Chuwdhry, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (987)	C.A.2700/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Javed ahmad Kayani, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (988)	C.A.2701/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ghulam Yazdani, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Salman Ahmad, ASC (Enrl#6074)(Lhr)
and (989)	C.A.2702/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Najma Ajmal, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (990)	C.A.2703/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mian Muhammad Arshad, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan

and (991)	C.A.2704/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Iqbal Hussain, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (992)	C.A.2705/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Mian Muhammad Jamil, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (993)	C.A.2706/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Zaib-un-Nisa, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (994)	C.A.2707/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Alauddin J. Feerasta, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan
and (995)	C.A.2708/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)	Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ali Yazdani, etc	Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Salman Ahmad, ASC (Enrl#6074)(Lhr)

and (996)	<p>C.A.2709/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muzammil Aziz, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (997)	<p>C.A.2710/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Ahmed Riaz, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (998)	<p>C.A.2711/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Maqsood Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (999)	<p>C.A.2712/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sadia Hamid, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1000)	<p>C.A.2713/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Atif Munir, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

<p>and (1001) C.A.2714/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sardar Aamir Hussain, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1002) C.A.2715/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khurshid Shamim, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1003) C.A.2716/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Mian Asad Hameed, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1004) C.A.2717/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Azhar Iqbal, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1005) C.A.2718/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Nazma Amer, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Muhammad Yaseen Farrukh, AOR (Enrl#266)</p>

and (1006)	<p>C.A.2719/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ms. Nazish Imran, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr) Khurram Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#6110)(Lhr)</p>
and (1007)	<p>C.A.2720/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ghalib Ahmad Virk, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1008)	<p>C.A.2721/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Khalil Ahmad Malik, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1009)	<p>C.A.2722/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Hafiz Aziz Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1010)	<p>C.A.2723/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ahsan Ahmad Chuhan, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (1011)	<p>C.A.2724/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Naeem Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1012)	<p>C.A.2725/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Okara, etc v. Fayyaz Zafar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1013)	<p>C.A.2726/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Farhat Ali, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1014)	<p>C.A.2727/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Ilyas, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1015)	<p>C.A.2728/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khalid Mahmood Malik, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

<p>and (1016) C.A.2729/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Yaqoob Sheikh, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1017) C.A.2730/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. S. Anjum Zafar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enrl#5012)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1018) C.A.2731/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Ali Sheikh, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1019) C.A.2732/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Raees Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1020) C.A.2733/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Malik Abdul Jabbar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

<p>and (1021) C.A.2734/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Nabila Zubair, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1022) C.A.2735/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Tariq Ali, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1023) C.A.2736/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ghulam Farid, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfrayz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1024) C.A.2737/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Shakil Monnoo, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shehryar Kasuri, ASC (Enrl#4789)(Lhr)</p>
<p>and (1025) C.A.2738/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Imran Ajmal, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (1026)	<p>C.A.2739/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sadia Kareem, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enrl#6071)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1027)	<p>C.A.2740/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mukhtar Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1028)	<p>C.A.2741/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Arshad Kamal Butt, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1029)	<p>C.A.2742/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Hafiz Naeem Ullah, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1030)	<p>C.A.2743/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Younis Ch, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Humayoun Rashid, ASC (Enrl#4511)(Lhr)</p>

<p>and (1031) C.A.2744/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Assistant Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Abdul Aleem Khan, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1032) C.A.2745/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Anjum Altaf, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1033) C.A.2746/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Sabahat Khalil, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1034) C.A.2747/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Masooma Saeed, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Muhammad Ozair Chughtai, AOR (Enr1#193) Abdul Waheed Chaddha, ASC (Enr1#5297)(Lhr)</p>
<p>and (1035) C.A.2748/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Navid Fazil, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

<p>and (1036) C.A.2749/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Rubina Burki, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1037) C.A.2750/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Yousuf Usman Elahi, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1038) C.A.2751/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Faisal Ahmed, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1039) C.A.2752/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Abid Mehmood, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Humayoun Rashid, ASC (Enrl#4511)(Lhr)</p>
<p>and (1040) C.A.2753/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Gujranwala, etc v. Irfan Mahmood, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

<p>and (1041) C.A.2754/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>The Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Tahir Khan Rehmani, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1042) C.A.2755/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Afzal Muzaffar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1043) C.A.2756/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Riaz, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1044) C.A.2757/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Naeem Akhtar Mian, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1045) C.A.2758/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Farhat Ali thr. LR. Rahat Ali, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

<p>and (1046) C.A.2759/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Tofique Saeed Saigol, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr) Khurram Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#6110)(Lhr)</p>
<p>and (1047) C.A.2760/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Azra Khalil Siddiqui, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1048) C.A.2761/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Omer Iqbal Khawaja, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Tasneem Amin, AOR (Enrl#227)(Lhr) Rana Muhammad Afzal, ASC (Enrl#4272)(Lhr)</p>
<p>and (1049) C.A.2762/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Haji Obaid Ullah, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1050) C.A.2763/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shumaila Shafiq, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

and (1051)	<p>C.A.2764/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Fozia Moghis Sheikh, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enrl#6071)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1052)	<p>C.A.2765/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Noman-ud-Din Haider, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enrl#6071)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1053)	<p>C.A.2766/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Naqeeb Amjad Malik, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1054)	<p>C.A.2767/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Abid Aziz Khan, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
and (1055)	<p>C.A.2768/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Imtiaz, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

<p>and (1056) C.A.2769/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Muhammad Azam, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1057) C.A.2770/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Muhammad Amin, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1058) C.A.2771/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Bashir Ahmad Mughal, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1059) C.A.2772/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Salamat Ali Ch., etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1060) C.A.2773/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shehla Saeed Saigal, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shahbaz Butt, ASC (Enrl#2861)(Lhr)</p>

<p>and (1061) C.A.2774/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Zahid Hussain, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1062) C.A.2775/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahid Lal, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1063) C.A.2776/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Shakil, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfrayz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1064) C.A.2777/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Muhammad Dawood, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1065) C.A.2778/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Dr. Khalid Aftab, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

<p>and (1066) C.A.2779/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Hina Amir Nawaz, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1067) C.A.2780/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Tahir Nadeem, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1068) C.A.2781/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Musarat Ashfaq, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1069) C.A.2782/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Khawaja Ashfaq Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1070) C.A.2783/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Ruqia Begum, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

<p>and (1071) C.A.2784/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Khawaja Altaf Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1072) C.A.2785/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Adnan Farid, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enrl#6071)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1073) C.A.2786/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Assistant Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Khurram Tariq, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1074) C.A.2787/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Rana Zafar Iqbal, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1075) C.A.2788/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Munira Salah-ud-Din, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enrl#6071)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>

<p>and (1076) C.A.2789/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Al Saeed Abdul Qadar Jamal-ud-Din, etc</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enrl#6071)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1077) C.A.2790/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Aslam, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1078) C.A.2791/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Aurangzaib Shafi Burki, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enrl#6071)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1079) C.A.2792/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Gujranwala, etc v. Mian Nisar Mahmood Akram, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1080) C.A.2793/2022 (Tax) (Income Support Levy Act, 2013) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Nasir Mehmood, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Notice to Attorney General for Pakistan</p>
<p>and (1081) C.A.578/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) (Income Support Levy) (S.J.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mian Faisal Iftikhar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice Anis Muhammad Shahzad, AOR (Enrl#267)(Rwp) D.A.G.</p>

<p>and (1082) C.P.L.A.2808-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahryar Ahmad Paracha, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Salman Ahmad, ASC (Enrl#6074)(Lhr) R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1083) C.P.L.A.2809-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Syed Mohsin Raza Naqvi, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1084) C.P.L.A.2810-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. S. Shaheen Zafar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1085) C.P.L.A.2811-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Rukhsana Javed Amin, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1086) C.P.L.A.2812-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sajid Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

<p>and (1087) C.P.L.A.2813-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Zia Ud Din Baberi through Legal Heir, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1088) C.P.L.A.2814-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Fazal Mueen, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1089) C.P.L.A.2815-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Abdul Rauf Tariq, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1090) C.P.L.A.2816-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Sialkot, etc v. Muhammad Sajjad Moghal, etc</p>	<p>Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Ibrar Ahmed, ASC (Enrl#3570)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1091) C.P.L.A.2817-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Umair Umar, ect</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

<p>and (1092) C.P.L.A.2818-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. S.M Afzal Haider Bokhari, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1093) C.P.L.A.2819-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khalid Mehmood, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1094) C.P.L.A.2820-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Syed Safdar Hussan Gillani, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1095) C.P.L.A.2821-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Shafique Shiekh, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1096) C.P.L.A.2822-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Furqan Sawar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

<p>and (1097) C.P.L.A.2823-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Imran Javed, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1098) C.P.L.A.2824-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Akbar Khan, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1099) C.P.L.A.2825-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Mr. Adeel Mehmood, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1100) C.P.L.A.2844-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Abbas Khan, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1101) C.P.L.A.2932-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Zubair Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

<p>and (1102) C.P.L.A.2933-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Faheem, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1103) C.P.L.A.2934-L/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Sh. Danish Ali, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1104) C.P.L.A.2935-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Khalil Ahmed Malik, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1105) C.P.L.A.2936-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Nasreen Mahmood Qasuri, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Shehryar Kasuri, ASC (Enrl#4789)(Lhr)</p>
<p>and (1106) C.P.L.A.2937-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Miss Robina Kanwal, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

<p>and (1107) C.P.L.A.2938-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Ali Abbas Siddique, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1108) C.P.L.A.2939-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Zeeshan, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1109) C.P.L.A.2940-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Khurshid Monnoo, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1110) C.P.L.A.2941-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ahmar Sarwar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1111) C.P.L.A.2942-L/2022 (Tax) ((Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Basra Zulfiqar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

<p>and (1112) C.P.L.A.2965-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (Challenging the Income Support Levy Act, 2013)) [(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Dr. Khalid Hamid, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1113) C.P.L.A.3059-L/2022 (Tax / Federal Tax) (Levy. Vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013.) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, Islamabad, etc v. Saima Ashfaq Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1114) C.P.L.A.3206-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Hamza Tariq Sufi, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1115) C.P.L.A.3207-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Zahid Shafi, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1116) C.P.L.A.3208-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mujahid Iqbal Chughtai, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1117) C.P.L.A.3209-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) (The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ghulam Yazdani, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Salman Ahmad, ASC (Enrl#6074)(Lhr) R - Notice</p>

<p>and (1118) C.P.L.A.3210-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Ikram, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1119) C.P.L.A.3211-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Rizwan Riaz, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1120) C.P.L.A.3212-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Tariq Haq, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1121) C.P.L.A.3213-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ahmad Jamal, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1122) C.P.L.A.3214-L/2022 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Nayyar Sheikh, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enr1#5033)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

- and (1123) C.P.L.A.3215-L/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))
[.]
(D.B.)
- Federal Board of Revenue, Islamabad, etc v. Khurshid Alam, etc
- Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr)
Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr)

R - Notice
-
- and (1124) C.P.L.A.3262-L/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)))
[.]
(D.B.)
- Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore v. Muhammad Asif Butt
- Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr)
Sheikh Muhammad Ali, ASC (Enr1#4761)(Lhr)

R - Notice
-
- and (1125) C.P.L.A.2286-L/2022
(Tax)
(Income Support Levy Act, 2013)
[(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)]
(D.B.)
- Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Tariq Javed, etc
- Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr)
Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr)

R - Notice
-
- and (1126) C.P.L.A.2661-L/2022
(Tax)
(Income Support Levy Act, 2013)
[(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)]
(D.B.)
- Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Shabir Hussain Kahloon, etc
- Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr)
Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr)

R - Notice
-
- and (1127) C.P.L.A.3616-L/2022
(Tax / Income Tax)
((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)))
[.]
(D.B.)
- Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore v. Muhammad Iqbal, etc
- Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enr1#221)(Lhr)
Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enr1#4051)(Lhr)

R - Notice
-

<p>and (1128) C.P.L.A.32-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore v. Saqib Siddique, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Muhammad Ozair Chughtai, AOR (Enrl#193) Muhammad Shahid Baig, ASC (Enrl#4535)(Lhr) R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1129) C.P.L.A.33-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore v. Mian Tahir Rasheed, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1130) C.P.L.A.154-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ali Akhtar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1131) C.P.L.A.155-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Jawed Iqbal, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1132) C.P.L.A.156-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Asad Iqbal Chughtai, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

<p>and (1133) C.P.L.A.157-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Iqbal Barkat Ali, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1134) C.P.L.A.158-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Usman Zahoor, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1135) C.P.L.A.159-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Hussain Ahmad Sherazi, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1136) C.P.L.A.160-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Asma Ahmad Zara, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Salman Ahmad, ASC (Enrl#6074)(Lhr) R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1137) C.P.L.A.161-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Babar ali Baig, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

<p>and (1138) C.P.L.A.162-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, etc v. Muhammad Shahid Chaudhry, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1139) C.P.L.A.163-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Multan, etc v. Kamran Yousaf, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1140) C.P.L.A.164-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ghazi Akhtar Khan, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1141) C.P.L.A.165-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Hassan Barkat Ali, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1142) C.P.L.A.166-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, etc v. Sadaf Kamran, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

<p>and (1143) C.P.L.A.214-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>deputy Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore v. Sheikh Javed Iqbal, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1144) C.P.L.A.215-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Yasir Ali, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1145) C.P.L.A.588-L/2023 (Tax / Levy of) (Failure to pay income support levy for tax year 2013 culminated into SCN and Assessment Order. Appeal before CIR(A) dismissed. Appeal before ATIR allowed in view of judgment of this Court dated 28.12.2021 in CPLA Nos.490-K/2020, etc. ITR filed by Department before High Court disposed of.) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad v. Imran Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1146) C.P.L.A.589-L/2023 (Tax / Levy of) (Failure to pay income support levy for tax year 2013 culminated into SCN and Assessment Order. Appeal before CIR(A) dismissed. Appeal before ATIR allowed in view of judgment of this Court dated 28.12.2021 in CPLA Nos.490-K/2020, etc. ITR filed by Department before High Court disposed of.) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad v. Amir Hafeez Bhatti, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1147) C.P.L.A.663-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) (Appellate tribunal annulled income support levy; HC upheld the orders of appellate tribunal and reference application was decided against department.) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore v. Musarat Parveen, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1148) C.P.L.A.696-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) (Appellate tribunal annulled the income support levy; HC upheld the order of the appellate tribunal, and the reference application was decided against the department.) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore v. Azhar Ali Malik, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

<p>and (1149) C.P.L.A.845-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad, etc v. Asiya Tanvir, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1150) C.P.L.A.846-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Uzma Nadeem, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1151) C.P.L.A.847-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore etc v. Salman Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr) Salman Ahmad, ASC (Enrl#6074)(Lhr) R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1152) C.P.L.A.848-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Sufi Muhammad Amin, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1153) C.P.L.A.849-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Ikram Elahi, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

<p>and (1154) C.P.L.A.850-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Sialkot, etc v. Muhammad Munir Chaudhry, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1155) C.P.L.A.851-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shahid Rauf, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice Mian Liaquat Ali, AOR (Enrl#269)(Lhr)</p>
<p>and (1156) C.P.L.A.852-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Muhammad Shahid Chaudhry, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1157) C.P.L.A.853-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mansoor Ahmad Khan, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1158) C.P.L.A.854-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khalid Shamshair Ali, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

<p>and (1159) C.P.L.A.855-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Shela Saeed Saigal, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1160) C.P.L.A.856-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Gujranwala, etc v. Kishwar Sultana, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1161) C.P.L.A.857-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Gujranwala, etc v. Sheikh Muhammad Akbar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1162) C.P.L.A.858-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Mohammad Saleem, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1163) C.P.L.A.859-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore, etc v. Khalid Mehmood, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfranz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

<p>and (1164) C.P.L.A.860-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, Islamabad, etc v. Muhammad Tahir Nadeem, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1165) C.P.L.A.861-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Asjad Saeed, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1166) C.P.L.A.862-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy))) [.] (D.B.)</p>	<p>Federal Board of Revenue, through Member (Legal), Islamabad, etc v. Nasim Ahmad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1167) C.P.L.A.863-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) (Notice under sec III(I) of ordinance was not issued to allow the appellant to explain the source. Taxation officer was not Justified to sum up all the credit entries to make additions u/s III(I) & u/s III(I)(b) without taking into account the actual nature of business.) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad v. Mr. Farooq Ahmad</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Muhammad Yahya, ASC (Enrl#5466)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1168) C.P.L.A.675-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore v. Syed Seerat Hussain Jafferi, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1169) C.P.L.A.1110-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) (Constitutional matter, challenging the vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, Constitutionality of the said Act at the rate of 0.5% on value of next movable assets.) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad v. Mrs. Yasmin Masood, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1170) C.P.L.A.1086-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad v. Kh. Shahid Razaq, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfray Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

<p>and (1171) C.P.L.A.1122-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) (Appellate tribunal annulled the income support levy; HC upheld the order of the appellate tribunal, and the reference application was decided against the department.) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad v. Mrs. Sadaf Aamir Arshad, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1172) C.P.L.A.1683-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) (Income support levy ultra vires to the Constitution. ISL is a levy on individual who owes Net Moveable wealth more than 1.00M and will files wealth statement.Income support levy ultra vires to the Constitution. ISL is a levy on individual who owes Net Moveable wealth more than 1.00M and will files wealth statement.) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore v. Naseem Ahmed Rana, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1173) C.P.L.A.1238-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad v. Javed Anwar, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1174) C.P.L.A.1783-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ((The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of net movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad v. Saif Ullah Ghauri, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1175) C.P.L.A.2003-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) ([(The Petitioner has challenged the provisions/vires of Income Support Levy Act, 2013, constitutionality of the Income Support Levy at the rate of 0.5% on the value of next movable assets/wealth exceeding one million rupees and legality of demand notices issued claiming payment of levy)) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Lahore v. Nawaz Khan</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Muhammad Shakeel Ch., ASC (Enrl#5012)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1176) C.P.L.A.2514-L/2023 (Tax / Income Tax) (vires of income support levy Act 2013 challenge & there were allowed. CPLA before this Court were dismissed hence CRP.) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad v. Faiq Jawed, etc</p>	<p>Syed Fayyaz Ahmed Sherazi, AOR (Enrl#221)(Lhr) Sarfraz Ahmad Cheema, ASC (Enrl#4051)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>
<p>and (1177) C.P.L.A.3561-L/2023 (Writ Petition / Writ Petition) (ICA No.2982/2020 was allowed on 12.10.2023 agaisnt dismissal of W.P No.44485/2019.) (D.B.)</p>	<p>Chief Commissioner Inland Revenue, Faisalabad & others v. Raja Muhammad Saleem & others</p>	<p>Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR (Enrl#270)(Lhr) Shahzad Ahmed Cheema, ASC (Enrl#6071)(Lhr) ----- R - Notice</p>

and (1178) C.P.L.A.4-L/2024
(Tax / Income Tax)
(vires of income support levy Act 2013
challenge & there were allowed. CPLA
before this Court were dismissed hence
CRP.)
(D.B.)

Federal Board of Revenue through
Member (Legal), Islamabad & others v.
Mujeeb Ud Din & others

Mobin Ahmed Siddiqui, AOR
(Enrl#270)(Lhr)
Ahmed Pervaiz, ASC (Enrl#5033)(Lhr)

R - Notice

Islamabad, 09 March 2026

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
FOR REGISTRAR